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ABSTRACT

The global market demand for automotive wheels with alloy materials is 55%, which is quite high compared to other materials such as steel, magnesium, chromed, and carbon fiber. The high competition of the global alloy wheels market demands to be able to offer quality alloy wheels. The purpose of this research is to reduce the number of defects in the casting process step by using the Define Measure Analyze Improve Control (DMAIC) method. This study shows the systematic approach to find the root cause of major defects in aluminum castings using the defect diagnostic approach as well as cause and effect diagram. Quality improvement using quality tools, namely the Pareto diagram, fishbone diagram. The major defects for the rejections during production were identified as leak defects, porosity motive holes, and oval defects. In determining the proposed quality improvements using the FMEA tool. The results of data processing on the calculation of process capabilities and product performance show improvements after quality improvements in the casting process. Product performance from DPMO = 15.462, sigma level = 3.6 to DPMO = 8.186 and sigma level = 3.9. The effect of decreasing the percentage of defects could save production costs by IDR 413.350.000. Therefore, the application of the DMAIC method can provide a significant improvement in product quality and impact on production cost savings.

1. INTRODUCTION

Discussing car wheel products is certainly inseparable from the car itself. The market potential for alloy wheels or wheels is directly proportional to the vehicle sales prospects. That is the more vehicle sales, the greater the potential to increase the sale of alloy wheels. World vehicle sales data can be seen in the following graphic picture:

![Global New Car Sales](image)

**Figure 1.** World car sales 2015-2019
Source: Verband der Automobilindustrie, VDA (2020)

Figure 1 shows that new car sales from 2017 to 2019 have a downward trend in new car sales. In 2019, car sales in Asia fell 2.8% compared to 2018, while in America it was relatively stable around 19.6%. However, sales of new cars in Europe in 2019 were 15.8%, which means there is a 0.18% percent increase over 2018. Although the world of automotive sales is declining, there is still a potential market for the sale of automotive component wheels because aftermarket wheels have many models and tend to be "fashion" for vehicle owners. Besides, in some countries with 4 seasons, namely winter, spring, summer, and fall, vehicle owners should change wheels at every change of season. And it has been a 4 season community character, if you change the tire, you will also change the wheels.

Aluminum wheels (alloys) consist of aluminum, magnesium, and nickel alloys which allow for stronger durability with lighter weight. Also, this type of wheel is easier to shape into various types of designs. Both of these factors, durability, and design, make this type of wheel most popular. The wheels are made by casting technique, the production process flow consists of three parts, namely foundry process flow, machining process flow, and machining process flow. The wheel material is heated until melted and then poured into the wheel mold. After that, the finishing is done, which is to clean the rough surface then apply paint.

Surface defects in aluminum die castings can result from deficiencies at any stage of the manufacturing process. The prevention of surface defects is a key requirement when producing most aluminum die castings. The prevention of defects related to the casting process can best be achieved through proper design of the die and feed system and control of the variables associated with the die casting process.

Quality is following the requirements, the concept of
compliance with Crosby requirements describes a set of conditions that must be met in a way required by specifications or standards. In general, if these specifications are not met, the product is considered non-compliant and defective [1]. Quality improvement can affect the success of a business [2]. The ability of the process to produce products that meet the specifications if the process has good capabilities, then the process will produce products that are within the specification limits between the lower and upper specification limits [3]. Manufacturing companies will try to increase productivity to be able to compete and compete to get the market to make as much profit as possible with improve product quality and minimize costs incurred [4]. Companies can reduce the level of product damage in increasing productivity to save production costs [5].

The DMAIC structured repair procedure is used to solve more complex quality problems and with unknown causes [6]. The Analysis phase evaluates the operation of the current process to determine the potential source of variation for critical performance parameters. Process improvements are planned and implemented and benefit analysis is done in the Enhancing phase, solutions are documented and monitored through statistical process handling methods in the Operation phase [7]. The DMAIC method approach is used in the strategy to achieve process improvement and excellence in enterprise organization, selection of critical parameters as a step to analyze important success factors in improving product quality [8]. One method that can be employed as a problem-solving tool to overcome machine breakdowns is the DMAIC approach. DMAIC analysis to support the development of the hot rolling mill machine’s capability and elimination of downtime in one of the processes in the aluminum industry [9].

As a management system, the DMAIC method is used to ensure critical improvement opportunities, developed through metric methodologies and levels in line with the company’s business strategy [10]. The industrial unit to strengthen the control charts presently at their disposal, it could raise the odds to notice more nonconformities [11]. Control charts are very useful in manufacturing, administration, and service functions, control charts provide quick feedback on key variables [12]. FMEA is a systematic analysis of potential failure modes aimed at preventing failures. It is intended to be a preventive action process carried out before implementing new or changes in products or processes [13]. The long-term goal is to completely eliminate every single failure. The short-term goal is to minimize the failures, if not eliminate them [14]. The t-test is a statistical test used to test the truth or falsity of a null hypothesis. The purpose of this t-test is to determine the differences between the two independent groups. The principle of independent sample t-test is to see the difference of variation of two data groups and in testing the variance information of both groups is required [15].

Research learning can be done by researching and learning previous research related to the same research, with the object of automotive component research [16]. Six Sigma can be seen as a systematic and organized, customer-oriented methodology that aims to improve the performance and quality of processes, products, and services using statistical techniques and the scientific method to analyze data and make decisions [17]. The generic problems of alloy wheel machining and subsequently details on the process improvement of the identified critical-to-quality machining characteristic of aluminum alloy wheel machining process [18]. Casting parameters are optimized in order to achieve better properties [19].

The systematic approach to finding the root cause of major defects in aluminum castings using defect diagnostic approach as well as cause and effect diagram [20]. The intelligent and systematic approach to diagnose the root cause of potential defects in aluminum castings using quality tools [21]. DMAIC (Six Sigma) one method used for analyzing defective products and the FMEA method is an option in implementing corrective action in the hope that the process of building a defective product can be properly controlled by the company’s schedule [22]. The application of FMEA in this analysis of breakdown machine problems was more focused than in the analysis using the Cause and Effect diagrams and Pareto diagram tools only [23].

2. METHODOLOGY

Six Sigma as an orderly parallel structure to reduce variation in organizational processes by using improvement experts, structured methods, and performance metrics to achieve strategic goals. The D-M-A-I-C (Define-Measure-Analyze-Improve-Control) structured repair procedure is used to solve more complex quality problems and with unknown causes [24]. DMAIC is a closed-loop process that eliminates unproductive steps, often focuses on new measurements, and applies technology for continuous improvement [25]. This method is based on process improvement according to the Deming cycle. Figure 2 shows a clear description of the DMAIC steps.

![Figure 2. The DMAIC process](image)

Define phases identifying processes or products that need improvement, while the Measurement phase identifies and measures processes and product features that are critical to customer satisfaction. The Analysis phase evaluates the operation of the current process to determine the potential source of variation in critical performance parameters. Then process improvements and product features are planned and implemented. The next stage in the Enhancing phase is to analyze the costs and benefits, then the solution is documented and monitored through the method of handling statistical processes in the operating phase. By increasing car sales increase, the demand for alloy wheels will also increase so that companies must improve the quality of their products to compete in the global alloy wheels market. The DMAIC cycle consists of five stages that are connected, every step to solve the quality control problem are as follows (Table 1):
Table 1. DMAIC tools used in various phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Meaning and Tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Define</td>
<td>This step is to identify the process or product that needs to be improved. It will be selected, including the cost, benefit, and impact for the customer. The common tools are used such as 5W 1H, VOB, CTQ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>This step is to knowing or to measure the problem was defined. The common tools are used such as p chart, Cp, determine UCL and LCL, calculate product performance DPO, DPMO, sigma level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze</td>
<td>This step to analyze the gap for existing conditions vs defined goals. The tools are command use such as Pareto diagram, fishbone diagram, 4M+1E, or Why Why analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve</td>
<td>This step is used to do improvement which was defined. The tool used in this step is FMEA analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>To supervise improvements with a control plan, SOP, measurement &amp; control of process performance, product performance, sigma level, and t-test.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study framework of this research is as shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Study framework](image)

![Table 2. Mapping of defect types](image)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this study, the DMAIC step method is used to simplify the repair process, as well as using quality tools as well as calculation and statistical methods at each step.

3.1 Define phase

At the defined stage, the identification of the problem encountered is done, this stage is very important so that the process of experiencing the problem can be understood so that appropriate improvement can be made. Determine the CTQ of the casting process based on customer requirements. This information will help to move planning by telling us if the existing business process has the potential to deliver quality levels that meet project goals. Each defect and a detailed explanation of the defect, as in the following Table 2:
3.2 Measure phase

Process performance in the production process is obtained from products that are critically to quality, required p- control charts related to parts of products that are inappropriate or defective. Then calculate the process capability (Cp) based on attribute data, i.e., the process capability of producing non-defective products in the production process. The graph of production data control before quality improvement is as follows:

![P-chart of the casting process](image)

**Figure 4.** P-chart of the casting process

In Figure 4, the p-chart graph shows that the production process of car wheels is stated to be unstable because 12 points were found to cross the statistical control limit. These points are 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24 in the data for the total defects in December 2019.

The pre-increase disability percentage rate was 12.8%, while the company’s target disability percentage was 8%, so there was an increase in the disability percentage of 2.8%. The capabilities process is 0.81, DMPO measurement is 15,462 and the sigma level is 3.6. This indicates that there is still a chance for a possible increase to reduce the number of defects and increase the level of sigma.

3.3 Analyze phase

At the Analysis stage, it is done to find the cause of the damage, so at this stage more clearly used Pareto diagrams and cause and effect diagrams. Making a Pareto diagram will show the main problems and the order of priority of some of the most dominant types of defects in the cause of the casting process, as in the following Figure 5.

![Pareto chart of defect types](image)

**Figure 5.** Pareto chart of defect types

Figure 5, it can be seen that there are 6 types of defects in the process due to casting, with the highest number of defects occurring on leak defects of 34.3%, porosity motive holes of 29.2%, oval defects of 16.8%, the total number of three types of defects to 80.3%. Three types of defects are preferred to improve production quality.

The Pareto chart contains columns arranged in descending order of the highest number of alloy wheel defects and the line diagram represents the cumulative percentage of the total defects. The Pareto chart highlights the biggest factors in a data set. The three causes of disability will be found in the following causes by analyzing the cause and effect diagram as follows:

![Cause and effect diagram leak defects](image)

**Figure 6.** Cause and effect diagram of leak defects

The machine factor is due to the condition of the molding machine in the unstable movement of the mold opening and the ineffectiveness of the cooling system is not optimal. Method factors are due to how process parameters are set and applied, how to send samples for X-Ray examination, and rules for the X-Ray examination.

![Cause and effect diagram porosity motive holes](image)

**Figure 7.** Cause and effect diagram porosity motive holes

Man factors are due to a lack of skilled operators, experience, and discipline. The engine factor is due to the
effectiveness of the cooling system on the bottom mold and degassing device. The casting machine has not been installed with a water flow meter.

The machine factor is due to the pins on the upper mold and the bottom mold which are not in the middle position and some are damaged. Molding machines with hydraulic pressure and speed conditions for lateral mold movement are not the same as each other. The method factor is due to the procedure of how to correct the incorrect position of the mold.

Based on the analysis of the causes and consequences of leakage defects, porous defects, and oval defects (Figure 6, 7, 8), it can be seen that the causes of defects are mainly due to method and machine factors. After knowing the cause and root of the problem, it can be used as a basis for improvement. Next, the analysis of the cause of the defect is done with tools of why analysis, as in Table 3.

Table 3 shows each of the handicap priorities handled in terms of human factors, machines, methods, materials, and environment analyzed to the problem and its causes. Cause analysis identifies the root of the problem or cause of a mismatch in the process or product. The root cause of the leakage defect is due to the ineffective mold engine factor due to irregular mold maintenance and no cooling system regulator. The method factor is due to inaccurate parameter setting methods and ineffective x-ray examination methods.

Table 4 shows that the root cause of hole porous defects is due to the ineffective GBF engine due to eroded rotor conditions. The method factor is due to the incomplete method of controlling hydrogen gas.

Table 5 shows that the root cause of the oval defects is due to engine factors, the pins on the top mold and bottom mold are worn. The method factor is due to the inaccurate way of checking and measuring the dimensions of the wheels.

**Figure 8.** Cause and effect diagram oval defects

**Table 3.** Cause analysis of leak defects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Potential failure mode</th>
<th>Why 1</th>
<th>Why 2</th>
<th>Why 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>Less skilled, less discipline</td>
<td>Lack of experience</td>
<td>Less understanding of assignments</td>
<td>Lack of training &amp; coaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine</td>
<td>Mold condition</td>
<td>Less maintenance</td>
<td>Less control</td>
<td>Less routine schedule maintenance. There is no cooling water discharge regulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The cooling system is not optimal</td>
<td>There is a scale that blocks the flow of cooling water</td>
<td>Rarely cleaned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Setting casting process parameters</td>
<td>Does not conform to standard parameters</td>
<td>The setting method is not quite right</td>
<td>Lack of training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sending samples for x-ray examination is less effective</td>
<td>Does not match the number of samples and the results of castings</td>
<td>It is difficult to monitor the number of wheel samples</td>
<td>The wheels are not numbered Less socialized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X-Ray methods are less effective</td>
<td>Defect’s product is less detectable</td>
<td>X-ray examination rules are not followed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>The temperature of the molten metal is not following the standard</td>
<td>Maintenance of the kitchen ignition system is lacking</td>
<td>Lack of control on temperature settings</td>
<td>Maintenance scheduling not a routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Workspace hot and dusty</td>
<td>Near furnaces and casting machines</td>
<td>There is casting work</td>
<td>Radiation from engines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.** Cause analysis porosity defects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Potential failure mode</th>
<th>Why 1</th>
<th>Why 2</th>
<th>Why 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>Less skilled</td>
<td>Lack of experience</td>
<td>Less understanding of assignments</td>
<td>Lack of training &amp; coaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine</td>
<td>GBF (Gas Bubble Filtration) machine</td>
<td>Ineffective Nitrogen gas flow settings</td>
<td>The spread of Nitrogen gas bubbles is uneven</td>
<td>Rotor dimensions have been eroded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>The method of controlling the degassing unit is less effective</td>
<td>The temperature of the molten metal is too high Increased levels of hydrogen gas into the molten metal</td>
<td>Check the condition of the rotor dimensions is lacking H₂ gas control method is not quite right</td>
<td>Periodically control the degassing tool unit There has been no revision of the H₂ control method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Moist raw material</td>
<td>Moist storage place</td>
<td>Exposed to raindrops</td>
<td>Less protected by water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Workspace hot and dusty</td>
<td>Near furnaces and casting machines</td>
<td>There is casting work</td>
<td>Radiation from engines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Cause analysis oval defects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Potential failure mode</th>
<th>Why 1</th>
<th>Why 2</th>
<th>Why 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Machine</td>
<td>The guide pins of the top mold and bottom mold positions slide against each other</td>
<td>The position of the top mold and bottom mold is not quite right</td>
<td>The top mold &amp; bottom mold position is not centre</td>
<td>There are worn pins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>The way of visual inspection, dimension measurement on as-cast wheels is less effective</td>
<td>No significant deviation was detected</td>
<td>Operators are less skilled in inspection and measurement</td>
<td>Lack of training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>The temperature of the molten metal in the furnace is not standard</td>
<td>Settings are not quite right</td>
<td>Lack of control on temperature settings</td>
<td>Maintenance scheduling periodically</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 Improve phase

The first step is to determine the value of the impact of the error on the process or product (severity), the frequency of occurrence of the error (occurrence), and possible detection methods that can now detect potential failures before the product is produced (detection). From the results of this determination, the RPN value will be obtained whose value is obtained by multiplying the value of Severity x Occurrence x Detection, as shown in the following Table 6:

Table 6. FMEA breakdown casting defects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential failure mode</th>
<th>Potential causes of the effect</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>RPN</th>
<th>Proposed corrective action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leak defects</td>
<td>Cooling system is less effective</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>Installation of the cooling water flow meter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leak defects</td>
<td>The application of casting and melting parameters does not conform to the standard</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Periodic control of the implementation of parameter application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity motive holes</td>
<td>The operation of the GBF engine degassing process is not optimal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>SOP for degassing process control is made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity motive holes</td>
<td>Mold cleaning methods are less effective</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Check the cleaning method with 180the wind, check the condition of the mold after production in three parts: bottom mold, upper core, die assy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oval defects</td>
<td>The mold position is incorrect</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Repair and replace broken pins and normal controls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main cause of leakage defects is that the use of casting and melting parameters does not conform to the standard and the method of sending samples for X-Ray examination is less effective. Other potential causes are due to less skilled operators, less optimal cooling system effectiveness, and less experienced operators so that they do not understand the implementation of process parameters and work procedures.

The potential cause of the hole defect motive due to the GBF engine is not optimal. The GBF machine setting method does not match the standard parameters. The effectiveness of the cooling system is not optimal because sometimes the cooling water channel is due to blockage or scale. Also, some machines do not install a water flow meter to regulate the flow rate. Potential causes for oval defects are ineffective wheel alignment handling, steering pins at the top mold position and the bottom of the mold is damaged, so the mold position is incorrect.

3.5 Control phase

Efforts to make improvements at the control level with the aim of not improving the process. Production quality improvement data due to casting can be shown in the following Pareto diagram:

Figure 9. Pareto chart of the defect after improvement

Figure 9 shows that the Pareto chart, it is known that the largest number of defects are 32.3% leakage defects, 23.7% porous defects, 15.1% oval defects. Meanwhile, the line diagram represents the cumulative percentage of the total six defects. When compared to the percentage before (Figure 5) and after repair (Figure 9), there is a decrease in the percentage.
of three leaky, porous, and oval defects. The graph of production data control after quality improvement is as follows:

![P-chart after quality improvement](https://i.imgur.com/3Q9Q5Q.png)

**Figure 10.** P-chart after quality improvement

In Figure 10 the control chart shows an increase in the wheel production process seen from the decrease in the number of points outside the control line. Before repairing were carried out there were 12 points that were beyond the limits of statistical control (Figure 4). The number of points outside the control limit after repairs were found 5 points, namely observations in samples 1, 3, 12, 17, and 18. Points still outside the control limit indicate that continuous improvement is still required to achieve more process stability.

Process capacity calculations are performed to determine whether corrective action taken for the process is sufficiently feasible. The pre-repair process capacity was 0.81, after increasing it to Cp = 1.46. Based on evaluation indicators if Cp > 1.33 means process capability is improving. Product performance after improvement DPMO became 8.18 and the sigma value was 3.9.

The hypothesis t-test is done to ensure there are differences in the disability section in December 2019 and disability data in March 2020, so the results to analyze the hypothesis are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Proportion of defects on December 2019 - Proportion of defects on March 2020</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Proportion of defects on December 2019 - Proportion of defects on March 2020</td>
<td>0.059250</td>
<td>0.044601</td>
<td>0.009104</td>
<td>0.040417 - 0.078083</td>
<td>6.50823</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 shows the statistical data for paired samples test, hypothesis testing is carried out using the following rules:

Ha: There is a difference
If (t count > t table) or value (Sig. <0.05)
Ho: There is no difference
If (t count < t table) or value (Sig. > 0.05)

Based on the t-test statistical analysis, the decision is that t = 6.508 with a probability of 0.000, therefore sig. <0.05, then Ha is accepted and Ho is subtracted, which means that there is a difference in the total number of wheel defects before and after repair with the DMAIC method.

Calculation of production costs due to a decrease in the percentage of defects, it is known that the production cost per wheel until the casting process is IDR 175.000. Costs incurred due to inappropriate products are the total costs incurred until the casting process. Before and after improvements based on production and QC report in December 2019 and March 2020 stated that the number of defective products of 4,047 pcs and 1,685 pcs. So the production cost savings are: (4,047 pcs - 1,685 pcs) × IDR 175,000 = IDR. 413,350.000 a month. Thus, the application of the DMAIC method shows that there is a significant improvement in product quality and it affects the cost savings of production. With respect to the objective of the case study, the DMAIC method is very effective in reducing the defect level and increasing the sigma level, however, at the end of the research further discussion is needed how for keeping the result. And the suggestion for the next researcher to make it better in terms of the quality we recommend for combining the DMAIC method with other quality tools like Taguchi and applying it to other automotive component industries.

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

This research highlights the benefits of DMAIC methodology in quality improvement. By simplifying way to practice this method with other tools support such as the 4M1E, Cp, p-Chart, DPO, DPMO, Sigma level, Pareto diagram, Fishbone diagram, Why why analysis and FMEA. Through the case study aluminium alloy wheels product quality improvement, DMAIC combined these tools and analyzed aluminum alloy wheel defects in casting process, found root-causes, and facilitated development of more sustainable ways to solve problems. Process performance was increased from Cp = 0.81 to Cp = 1.46 and also the sigma level is increased from 3.6 to 3.9. The extremely impact reduces the defect ratio, and its finally creating the production costs saving by IDR 413,350,000.

Cp = 0.81 to Cp = 1.46 and also the sigma value was 3.9.
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