Journal homepage: http://iieta.org/journals/mmep

## Design and Analysis of Suspension Strut in Automobile Vehicles

Anil Babu Seelam<sup>2</sup>, Monish Senthil Kumaran<sup>1</sup>, Krishnamurthy H. Sachidananda<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Dubai 345050, UAE <sup>2</sup> School of Engineering and IT, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Dubai 345050, UAE

Corresponding Author Email: sachidananda@manipaldubai.com

https://doi.org/10.18280/mmep.070411	ABSTRACT
Received: 8 September 2020 Accepted: 12 November 2020	This research paper discusses about the design and analysis of suspension strut used in automotive industries. The main objective of this research is to study the suspension

Keywords:

suspension strut, structural analysis, E-Glass, carbon fiber, structural steel

This research paper discusses about the design and analysis of suspension strut used in automotive industries. The main objective of this research is to study the suspension strut by modelling using solid works and then analysis have been performed using ANSYS by considering structural steel, carbon fiber and E-Glass material. Based on the results obtained from the analysis, comparison have been made for above materials to reduce the weight of the suspension strut to improve the life of the model. From the analysis results it can be concluded that it is possible to reduce the weight of suspension strut using composite materials. From the above study it can be concluded that glass fiber has performed well as compared to other materials which in turn increases the life of the suspension strut.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Suspension strut are a part of the car's suspension system that absorbs compression to reduce heavy bouncing and give smooth feeling while driving. Mercedes Benz strut assembly have been designed to stabilize the suspension system; Struts do this because they work like shock absorbers to stabilize the overall function of the suspension system. The struts work along with leaf springs, coil springs, and stabilizer bars to add increased tension and support to the suspension system. This can be achieved by evenly distributing vehicle body weight while the car is being driven. Most likely it consists of 4 struts, two in the rear and two in the front. These four struts work together to maintain a controlled ride. This helps to reduce the frame stress by absorbing the stress and impact while the car is being operated. Without the strut, the car ride would be extremely bumpy and there could be damage to the frame.

In this context some of the literature review has been performed and have been presented. Prakash et al. [1] have studied design and analysis of a coil spring of a strut assembly considering different materials using ANSYS. They used stainless steel and magnesium alloy for the strut spring to analyze its design for heavy loads by comparing deformation, stress etc. using ANSYS.

They concluded from their results it is possible to reduce the weight of the spring. Lavanya et al. [2] has studied suspension coil spring used in automotive vehicles. They investigated by comparing coil spring made of low-carbon structural steel and chrome vanadium steel. They concluded that strain and stress induced is less in case of low carbon structural steel as compared to chrome vanadium steel. Shinde et al. [3] has analyzed vibrations and noise of different components in case of McPherson suspension. They concluded by providing the gaps and how to improve its performance for safety. Dodamani et al. [4] have studied coil spring of a McPherson suspension system. They analyzed the coil springs using static and fatigue loading conditions and they concluded that there

developed model is safe according to Von-misses and maximum stress. Alsahlani et al. [5] have studied coil springs using ANSYS. They studied deformation, strain, stress etc. considering various materials such as steel, copper alloy and carbon composites. They concluded that deformation in carbon composites is lesser as compared to other two materials considered. Vaidya et al. [6] have reviewed suspension systems for automobile applications. They discussed old suspension systems and the different advancement which has taken place in suspension systems. They concluded that suspension system is the backbone of automobile industries. Pawar et al. [7] have analyzed the front suspension coil spring for automobile vehicles. They studied theoretical analysis and compared the results with ANSYS analysis. They concluded that stiffness of the suspension increased which in turn increases load carrying capacity. Vijayeshwar et al. [8] have analyzed helical suspension springs considering different materials. They considered chrome silicon and hard drawn carbon steel material for the analysis and they concluded that chrome silicon has performed better as compared to hard drawn carbon steel. Pankaj et al. [9] have studied helical compression springs using ANSYS. They studied statistical structural analysis for the above spring considering chrome vanadium and cobalt chrome and they concluded that cobalt chrome has performed better as compared to other materials considered. Lukasz and Rafal [10] have studied suspension systems for automobile vehicles. They studied test method to evaluate technical conditions of modern suspension systems. They concluded that the diagnostic method used in assessment requires development and improvement. Purushotham [11] have studied a mathematical model of McPherson suspension system. He has studied the implementation of this model using Matlab-simulink and he concluded that displacement and acceleration were in line for theoretical as well as Matlabsimulink. Ali et al. [12] have studied suspension system for McPherson system. They studied the suspension system behavior during driving maneuvers. They concluded that using



McPherson system it is possible to comfort ride apart from vehicle behavior. Jain et al. [13] have studied helical springs for suspension system. They studied stress and deflection in case of helical springs considering different materials. They concluded by comparing the results with ANSYS analysis. Yadav et al. [14] have studied helical springs of a suspension system. They studied using new materials to increase the stiffness of the springs. They concluded that Inconel X750 can be used and is best suitable for helical springs. Bhaskar et al. [15] have studied springs with fiber reinforced composite material. They studied deflection and spring rate for varying load conditions. They concluded that modification in cross section with proper material can increase the properties of composite springs. Krishnamoorthy and Karthik [16] have studied helical springs using glass fiber. They manufactured springs with glass fiber and resin, they concluded by studying the mechanical properties. Manjunatha and D Abdul Budan [17] have studied composite helical springs for automobile applications. They have studied mechanical behavior of the springs and they concluded that weight of the composite springs is lesser as compared to steel springs.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

This topic discusses about the methodology followed to design and analyze several composite materials for the selected suspension strut model. The software used for suspension strut design is the solid works and the software used for the analysis is ANSYS.

Formulas used for design:

Load 
$$F = K\Delta$$
 (1)

Deflection 
$$\Delta = \frac{F}{K} = \frac{8FD^3n_a}{Gd^4}$$
 (2)

$$n_a = n_t - n^* \tag{3}$$

#### 2.1 Design of base rod for suspension strut

The dimensions of the base rod used for suspension strut is as shown in Table 1 and Figure 1 shows the base rod for suspension strut.

Table 1. Design of base specifications

Nomenclature	Dimensions (mm)
Circle diameter	45
Thickness	3
Top rod diameter (mm)	10
Extrusion of top rod diameter	70
Lower rod diameter	24
Extrusion of lower rod diameter	28
Fillet radius	6
Hole diameter	8

Table 2. N	Jut s	specification
------------	-------	---------------

Nomenclature	Dimensions (mm)
Outer Diameter	52
Inner diameter	24
Thickness	10
Extrusion of top rod diameter	9
Inner circle Extrusion	29
Circular pattern	12
Revolve cut angle	15°



Figure 1. 2-D view of base rod for suspension strut

#### 2.2 Design of Nut

The nut specification for the suspension strut is shown in Table 2 and the 2-D view of the nut is shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3.



Figure 2. 2-D view of nut for suspension strut



Figure 3. 3-D plane of nut for suspension strut

#### 2.3 Design of top rod

The design specifications of the coil spring, 2-D view of the coil spring is as shown in Figure 4 and Table 3. The isometric view is as shown in Figure 5.





Figure 4. 2-D view of top rod for suspension strut



Figure 5. Isometric view of top rod for suspension strut

## 2.4 Design of coil springs

The design specifications of the coil spring, 2-D view of the coil spring, front plane, top plane and isometric view is as shown in Table 4, Figure 6 and Figure 7.

Table 4. Coil	spring	specifications
---------------	--------	----------------

Nomenclature	Dimensions (mm)
Diameter of spring wire	7.25
Pitch	15
Extrusion cut on top	10
Extrusion cut on bottom	10
Hole diameter	8
Number of coil springs	6
Free length of the spring	99



Figure 6. 2-D view of spring for suspension strut



Figure 7. Isometric view of coil spring for suspension strut

## 2.5 Assembly of suspension strut

The design specifications of the suspension strut, 2-D view of the coil spring, front plane, top plane and isometric view is as shown in Table 5, Figure 8 and Figure 9.

Mating features	Relations
Coincident relation	Top rod and base rod
Concentric relation	Nut and top rod
Screw relation	Temporary axis and nut
Concentric relation	Nut and spring
Coincident relation	Spring and base



Figure 8. 2-D view assembly of suspension strut



Figure 9. Isometric view of suspension strut

### 2.6 Design calculations

Deflection for structural steel coil spring is given as:

$$\partial = \frac{8WC^3n}{Gd^4} = 64.46 \ mm \tag{4}$$

where, W is the load applied on the coil spring, which is 7500 N, C=D/d = 4.67 mm, n is the number of active coils, G is the modulus of rigidity and  $\partial$  is the deflection.

Similarly, deflection for the E-glass coil spring using the above formulae is 168.57 mm and the deflection for the carbon fiber coil spring is 339.4 mm.

Weight of the C class model: 4000 kg, Weight applied on one side of the vehicle: 4000/4 = 1000 kg = 9800 N and approximating it to 10000 N = 10 KN.

Considering 75% of the load to be added on the suspension strut from the original load. Therefore, load to be applied on suspension strut = 7500N.

Dynamic load exerted on the car

F = Mass x Acceleration

Mass of the car = 4000 kg

Rate of Acceleration = 60mph = 12.22 feet-per-sec<sup>2</sup>

Therefore F = 4000\*0.3975 = 1590 kgs is the dynamic load exerted by the drive-wheel tire treads against the road to accelerate the car.

### 2.7 Structural steel

The input values for the coil springs considering structural steel material is as shown in Table 6.

 Table 6. Input values for structural steel

Nomenclature	Dimensions (mm)
Diameter of spring wire, d	7.25
Outer diameter of spring, D	30
Free length of spring, L free	99
Number of active coils, n	6
Young's modulus of material	200 GPa
Poisson ratio of material	0.3
Density of material	7850 Kg/m3

The corresponding output values for the coil spring considering structural steel material is as follows.

- Maximum load possible = 1.54 \* 104 N
- Maximum shear stress= 3630 MPa
- Maximum displacement possible = 41mm
- Mass of spring= 0.188kg

#### 2.8 E-Glass

The input values for the coil springs considering structural steel material is as shown in Table 7.

Table	7.	Input	values	for	E-Glass
-------	----	-------	--------	-----	---------

Nomenclature	Dimensions (mm)
Diameter of spring wire, d	7.25
Outer diameter of spring, D	30
Free length of spring, L free	99
Number of active coils, n	6
Young's modulus of material	85 GPa
Poisson ratio of material	0.22
Density of material	2600 Kg/m3

The corresponding output values for the coil spring considering E-Glass material is as follows.

- Maximum shear stress= 1640 MPa
- Maximum displacement possible = 41mm
- Mass of spring= 0.0626 kg

#### 2.9 Carbon fiber

The input values for the carbon fiber considering structural steel material is as shown in Table 8.

Table 8. Input values for E-Glass

Nomenclature	Dimensions (mm)
Diameter of spring wire, d	7.2
Outer diameter of spring, D	30
Free length of spring, L free	99
Number of active coils, n	6
Young's modulus of material	290 GPa
Poisson ratio of material	0.2
Density of material	1800 Kg/m3

The corresponding Output value for carbon fiber coil springs:

- Maximum shear stress= 5690Mpa
- Maximum displacement possible = 41mm
- Mass of spring= 0.0433 kg

2.9.1 Selecting the load to be applied on the suspension strut Weight of the C class model: 4000 kg, Weight applied on one side of the vehicle: 4000/4 = 1000 kg = 9800 N and approximating it to 10000 N = 10 KN.

Considering 75% of the load to be added on the suspension strut from the original load. Therefore, load to be applied on suspension strut = 7500N.

2.9.2 Weight reduction from structural steel

The weight reduction is calculated using following formulae.

Weight Reduction (%) =  $\frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_1}$ .

### 2.9.3 E- Glass

Weight of E-Glass (W2) = 0.0626 Kg, Weight of Structural steel (W1) = 0.188 Kg, therefore weight reduction in case of E-Glass is 66.70 % less than the structural steel.

#### 2.9.4 Carbon fiber

Weight of carbon fiber (W2)=0.0433 Kg, Weight of Structural steel (W1) = 0.188 Kg, therefore weight reduction

in case of carbon fiber is 76.96 % less than the structural steel.

From these results it can be analyzed the weight reduction in case of E-Glass is 66.70% whereas the weight reduction in case of carbon fiber is 76.96% as compared to structural steel. This weight reduction helps to increase the fuel consumption in the car. In case of carbon fiber, the weight reduction of 76.96% plays a major role in reducing the weight of the vehicle during design process.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Structural analysis on the existing design using structural steel as a material have been performed using ANSYS and hence these values obtained are compared with carbon fiber and E-Glass material.

#### 3.1 Structural analysis for structural steel

The upper surface of top rod is fixed support to the rocker arm and a load of 7500 N is applied between the holes of the base rod which is connected to the wheel hub and the boundary conditions applied is as shown in Figure 10. Fine mesh was used on the suspension strut ad the total nodes was 82284 and the number of elements in the analysis was 45058 and is as shown in Figure 11. The total deformation of the structural steel, stress, shear stress and elastic strain is as shown in Figure 12 to Figure 15. The corresponding values of total deformation, stress, shear stress and elastic strain is as shown in Table 9.







Figure 11. Boundary conditions applied for structural steel



Figure 12. Total deformation for structural steel



Figure 13. Stress of Structural steel



Figure 14. Shear stress for structural steel



Figure 15. Elastic strain for structural steel

Table 9. Stress and stain values for structural steel

Nomenclature	Values
Total deformation	0.041416mm
Stress	428.53 MPa
Shear stress	130.81 MPa
Elastic strain	0.0024905

## 3.2 Structural analysis for E-Glass

Figure 16 to Figure 19 shows the total deformation, stress, shear stress and elastic strain and the corresponding values of these stresses are shown in Table 10.



Figure 16. Total deformation for E-Glass



Figure 17. Stress of E-Glass



Figure 18. Shear stress of E-Glass



Figure 19. Elastic Strain on E-Glass

Table 10. Stress and stain values for E-Glass

Nomenclature	Values
Total deformation	0.041435 mm
Stress	429.58 MPa
Shear stress	130.74 Mpa
Elastic strain	0.0024883

## 3.3 Structural analysis for carbon fiber

Figure 20 to Figure 23 shows the total deformation, stress, shear stress and elastic strain for the carbon fiber and the corresponding values of these stresses are shown in Table 11.



Figure 20. Total deformation for carbon fiber



Figure 21. Stress of Carbon fiber



Figure 22. Shear stress of Carbon fiber



Figure 23. Elastic strain of Carbon fiber

Table 11. Stress and stain values for Carbon fiber

Nomenclature	Values
Total deformation	0.041444 mm
Stress	430.11 MPa
Shear stress	130.67 MPa
Elastic strain	0.0024866

## **3.4** Structural analysis for coil spring with support for structural steel

The structural analysis for coil spring with support for structural steel considering total deformation, stress, shear stress and elastic strain is as shown in Figure 24 to Figure 27.

me: 1 27/2020 6:44 PM	
47.746 Max	
42.441	
37.136	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
31.831	
26.526	Contraction of the second s
21.221	
15.915	Carl Contract
10.61	
5.3051	Charles
0 Min	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A



nit: MPa	
me: 1 /27/2020 6:47 PM	A CONTRACTOR
7928.4 Max	
7047.5	STOLEN L
6166.5	Contraction of the second
5285.6	
4404.7	Contraction of the second seco
3523.7	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER
2642.8	CHE
1761.9	ALC: NOT ALC
880.93	Contraction of the second
0.00023267 Min	TVIVIN .
	ALC DESCRIPTION

Figure 25. Stress of structural steel coil spring

Type: Shear Stress(XY Plane)	
Jnit: MPa	
Global Coordinate System	
Time: 1	
5/27/2020 6:46 PM	
2317.8 Max	
1803.1	
1288.4	
773.62	
258,88	
-255.86	
-770.6	
-1285.3	
-1800.1	TAL TAL
-2314.8 Min	
	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT

Figure 26. Shear stress of structural steel coil spring

quivalent Elastic Strain ype: Equivalent Elastic Strain	
Init: mm/mm	
ime: 1	State of the state
/27/2020 6:46 PM	A DECK
	ALL
0.048601 Max	A DECEMBER OF THE PARTY OF THE
0.043201	SOLA
0.037801	Carlo and and a second
0.032401	
0.027001	
0.021601	
0.0162	Contraction of the second
0.0108	
0.0054001	Carl Carlos
3.4581e-9 Min	A DATA DATA
- 3.430 TC - 5 Will	ADO
	State of the second state of the

Figure 27. Elastic strain of structural steel coil spring

## **3.5** Structural analysis for coil spring with support for E-Glass

The structural analysis for coil spring with support for E-Glass is as shown in Figure 28 to Figure 31.

Type: Total Deformation Jnit: mm	
lime: 1	
5/27/2020 6:48 PM	
	STREET
122.96 Max	
109.3	COLOR DE LA
95.636	A DAMAGE
81.974	
68.311	and the second
54.649	
40.987	Contraction of the second seco
27.325	
13.662	Contraction of the second
0 Min	A CONTRACTOR
	and the second se

Figure 28. Total deformation for E-Glass coil spring

quivalent Stress /pe: Equivalent (von-Mises) Str	955
nit: MPa	
me: 1	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
27/2020 6:49 PM	
	California and
7571.8 Max	A DECEMBER OF
6730.4	CONTRACTOR OF
5889.1	A DAY DO TO
5047.8	and a state of the
4206.5	Contraction of the second
3365.2	
2523.9	
1682.6	A VIVIO
841.31	Contraction of the second
8.5731e-5 Min	A DECEMBER OF THE PARTY OF THE

Figure 29. Stress for E-Glass coil spring

B: Static Structural Shear Stress	
Type: Shear Stress(XY Plane)	
Unit: MPa	
Global Coordinate System	
Time: 1	
5/27/2020 6:48 PM	CH-
- 2312.8 Max	
1798.9	
1285	
771.12	
257.22	
-256.69	
Automatik	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
-1204.5	
-1798.4	CAR NOT
-2312.3 Min	Tourses and a second second second

Figure 30. Shear stress of E-Glass coil spring

ype: Equivalent Elastic Strain Init: mm/mm	
îme: 1	
/27/2020 6:49 PM	A REAL PROPERTY AND
	STRUCTURE .
0.12745 Max	A DATA DATA DATA
0.11329	
0.099126	CONTRACTOR OF
- 0.084965	
0.070804	Cathorn
0.056644	
0.042483	
0.028322	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
0.014161	CALL STATE
4.6004e-9 Min	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A

Figure 31. Elastic strain of E-Glass coil spring

# **3.6** Structural analysis for coil spring with support for Carbon fiber

The structural analysis for coil spring carbon fiber is as shown in Figure 32 to Figure 35.

	Deformation	
	Total Deformation	
Unit:		
Time:		
5/27/	2020 6:54 PM	
	345.83 Max	
	307.4	
	268.98	CLOTTON
- 1	230.55	
-	192.13	Contraction of the second
_	153.7	
_	115.28	A CONTRACTOR
	76.851	
	38.425 5	and the second second
	0 Min	Company and the second second
		0.0
		0.0

Figure 32. Total deformation of carbon fiber coil spring

Equivalent Stress	
Type: Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress Unit: MPa	
Time: 1	
5/27/2020 6:57 PM	
5/2//2020 0.5/ PM	Contraction of the local division of the loc
- 7452.3 Max	
6624.2	CONVERSION OF
5796.2	Carl State
4968.2	
4140.1	Carton
3312.1	
2484.1	A DECKER DECKER
1656.1	Contraction of the second
828.03	CARTE
0.0018618 Min	
13	
	0.1

Figure 33. Stress of carbon fiber coil spring

Shear Stress	
Type: Shear Stress()(Y Plane)	
Unit: MPa	
Global Coordinate System	
Time: 1	
5/27/2020 6:56 PM	A STATE AND A STAT
- 2458 Max	A STATE OF THE OWNER
1911.1	
1364.3	
817.53	Contraction of the second seco
270.72	
-276.08	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
-822.89	
-1369.7	
-1916.5	Contraction of the second
-2463.3 Min	
-2403.3 Milli	
	0.0

Figure 34. Shear stress of carbon fiber coil spring

Equivalent Elastic Strain	
Type: Equivalent Elastic Strain	
Unit: mm/mm	
Time: 1	
5/27/2020 6:56 PM	
0.49885 Max	
0.44342	A DOWNER OF
0.38799	Contraction of the second seco
0.33256	
0.27714	C CONTRACTOR
0.22171	
0.16628	A CONTRACTOR
0.11085	Carbon
0.055427	NOT
□ 1.1233e Min	

Figure 35. Elastic strain of carbon fiber coil spring

## **3.7** Comparison of deformation for structural steel, carbon fiber and E-Glass coil spring

Table 12 shows the comparison of deformation for structural steel, carbon fiber and E-Glass spring. From this comparison it is observed that the total theoretical deformation observed in case of steel material is 64.46 mm and the deformation observed using software is 47.74 mm and is much

lesser as compared to theoretical values. But for carbon fiber, the deformation from analysis shows 345.83 mm whereas the deformation in case of theoretical values are higher which is 339.4 mm. The type of behavior observed in case of E-Glass is just like the type of behavior observed in case of steel material.

 Table 12. Theoretical and analytical comparison

Material	<b>Theoretical Value</b>	ANSYS value
Steel	64.46 mm	47.74 mm
Carbon fiber	339.4 mm	345.83 mm
E-Glass	168.57 m	122.96 m

## **3.8** Comparison of deformation for structural steel, carbon fiber and E-Glass coil for suspension strut

Figure 36 shows the comparison of deformation of structural steel, carbon fiber and E-Glass. From this figure it is observed that carbon fiber has the highest deformation of 0.041444 mm and structural steel has the lowest deformation of 0.041416 mm.







## **3.9** Comparison of stress for structural steel, carbon fiber and E-Glass for suspension strut

Figure 37 shows the comparison of structural steel, carbon fiber and E-Glass for suspension strut. From this graph it is observed that maximum stress is induced by carbon fiber which is 430.11 MPa and stress induced by structural steel is the lowest at 428.53 MPa.



Figure 37. Comparison of stress for various material

3.9.1 Comparison of shear stress for structural steel, carbon fiber and E-Glass for suspension strut

Figure 38 shows the comparison of shear stress for structural steel, carbon fiber and E-Glass for suspension strut. From this figure it is observed that induced maximum shear is maximum for structural steel and is 130.81 MPa and shear

stress induced is the lowest in carbon fiber which is 130.67 MPa.



Figure 38. Comparison of shear stress for various material

3.9.2 Comparison of Elastic strain for structural steel, carbon fiber and E-Glass for suspension strut

Figure 39 shows the comparison of elastic strain for structural steel, carbon fiber and E-glass for suspension strut. From this figure it is observed that elastic strain is maximum for structural steel and is 0.0024905 and elastic strain is minimum in carbon fiber which is 0.0024866. Also, it is observed that strain induced in glass fiber is closer to structural steel at 0.0024883 and it makes a good alternative to structural steel.



Figure 39. Comparison of Elastic strain for various material

### **4. CONCLUSION**

Based on the results obtained from Ansys and the theoretical analysis done, it can be concluded that glass fiber is the best alternative material that can be used as a coil spring for the suspension strut and especially for high end vehicles due to the overall deformation and weight of the spring. It is also observed that glass fiber coil springs has a mass of 0.0626 kg which shows a 66.7021% lesser from steel coil springs which makes it more light weight which in turn gives better life expectancy. Apart from this, glass fiber is also abrasion resistant and less corrosive than steel. From the results it is also observed that elastic strain in case of glass fiber is 0.0024883 as compared to structural steel making it a suitable alternative. From the comparison of the theoretical value and analytical values it is shown the design is safe for glass fiber and falls under elastic limit.

### REFERENCES

[1] Prakash, S., Babu, S.K., Prabhahar, M., Rasidh, C.K.M., Muhammadmarjan, S. (2017). Design and analysis of suspension strut using various material. Journal of Industrial Pollution Control, 33(2): 1754-1758.

- [2] Lavanya, N., Rao, P.S., Reddy, M.P. (2014). Design and analysis of a suspension coil spring for automotive vehicle. International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, 4(9): 151-157.
- Shinde, S.D., Maheshwari, S., Kumar, S. (2018). Literature review on analysis of various Components of McPherson suspension. Materials Today: Proceedings, 5(9): 19102-19108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2018.06.263
- [4] Dodamani, S.S., Suresh, C., Nagaraj, D. (2016). Design and Fatigue Analysis of McPherson Strut Assembly Coil Spring. Dept. of Machine Design, BIT, Bangalore, India.
- [5] Alsahlani, A., Khashan, M.K., Khaleel, H.H. (2018). Design and analysis of coil spring in vehicles using finite elements method. Int. J. Mech. Product. Eng. Res. Dev., 8(4): 615-624. https://doi.org/10.24247/ijmperdaug201864

[6] Vaidya, G., Kanoje, P., Tidke, N. (2017). Advancement in suspension system for automobile industry: A review. International Journal of Engineering Technology Science and Research, 4(9): 549-552.

- [7] Pawar, H.B., Patil, A.R., Zope, S.B. (2016). Design and analysis of a front suspension coil spring for three wheeler vehicle. International Journal of Innovations in Engineering Research and Technology, 3(2): 1-6.
- [8] Vijayeshwar, B.V., Preetham, B.M., Bhaskar, U. (2017). Design and static analysis of helical suspension spring with different materials. International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology, 4: 94-98. https://doi.org/10.17148/IARJSET
- [9] Pankaj, S., Rushikesh, A., Sanket, W., Viraj, J., Kaushal, P. (2017). Design and analysis of helical compression spring used in suspension system by finite element analysis method. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), 4(4): 1-11.

- Konieczny, Ł., Burdzik, R. (2017). Modern suspension systems for automotive vehicles and their test methods. Vibroengineering PROCEDIA, 14: 233-237. https://doi.org/10.21595/vp.2017.19238
- [11] Purushotham, A. (2013). Comparative simulation studies on MacPherson suspension system. International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), 3(3): 1377-1381.
- [12] Ali, T., Bakar, R.A., Meng, G.L., Jafar, Z.A. (2017). Investigation of passenger car using Macpherson strut for suspension system pt. 1: Vehicle behaviour variation of time response. In MATEC Web of Conferences, 90: 01072. https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/20179001072
- [13] Jain, A., Misra, S., Jindal, A., Lakhian, P. (2017). Structural analysis of compression helical spring used in suspension system. In AIP Conference Proceedings, 1859(1): 020080. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4990233
- [14] Yadav, A.K., Kanaujiya, S.P., kumar, M., Kumar, V., Bhaskar, A. (2017). Analysis of helical spring of twowheeler suspension system using Ansys workbench 16.2. International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET), 5(5): 1257-1278.
- [15] Bhaskar, U., Guruchethan, A.M., Devendra, Reddy, M., (2016). Performance analysis of fiber reinforced composite spring Embedded with steel wire. International Journal of Engineering Research and General Science, 4(2): 757-763.
- [16] Krishnamoorthy, A., Karthik, R. (2015). Study of composite helical spring using glass fibre with araldite LY556 and XY54. In Applied Mechanics and Materials, 766-767: 523-527. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.766-767.523
- [17] Manjunatha, T.S., Budan, D.A. (2012). Manufacturing and experimentation of composite helical springs for Automotive suspension. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Robotics Research, 1(2): 229-241.