



















## 7. CONCLUSIONS

An analytical scheme that describes the turbulent mixing zone within an ejector was presented. The model is able to compute all flow properties inside an axisymmetric or planar mixing chamber with either constant or variable cross section. The amount of entrained flow, the work and heat exchange, pressure losses and mixing efficiency are computed as a function of the system geometry and without use of any arbitrary parameter. Consequently, this model is particularly suited for a thermodynamic optimization of the ejector system.

The model was validated by comparison with CFD results for various operating conditions. Results generally showed good agreement in all parameters, with increasing errors as pressure gradient increases. In particular, discrepancy between numerical and theoretical Entrainment Ratio was generally well below 10%.

One of the main problems in the optimization of a supersonic ejector is the decrease of mixing effectiveness with increasing primary stream Mach number. A way to work around this problem is to enlarge and optimize the contact surfaces between primary and secondary stream.

A preliminary study was performed in this direction. Results demonstrated that splitting the primary mass flow into several smaller nozzles (*ceteris paribus*), notably increases the entrainment of the secondary flow.

This result leads the way to new design configurations that may be optimized by means of a constructal approach. The Q1D model presented here is particularly suitable to this aim, in that it envisions the ejector as an equivalent “momentum exchanger”. Consequently, many of the Constructal design concepts that were developed for the optimization of heat exchangers may be applied.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We wish to thank Professor Papamoschou for his willingness and valuable help.

## REFERENCES

1. ESDU Ejectors and jet pumps, Data item 86030, *ESDU International Ltd*, London, UK, 1986.
2. Papamoschou, D., “Model for entropy production and pressure variation in confined turbulent mixing,” *AIAA journal*, 31(9), 1643-1650, 1993. DOI: [10.2514/3.11826](https://doi.org/10.2514/3.11826).
3. Papamoschou, D., “Analysis of partially mixed supersonic ejector,” *Journal of propulsion and power*, 12(4), 736-741, 1996. DOI: [10.2514/3.24096](https://doi.org/10.2514/3.24096).
4. Brown, G. L., Roshko, A., “On density effects and large structure in turbulent mixing layers,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 64(4), 775–816, 1974. DOI: [10.1017/S002211207400190X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S002211207400190X).
5. Bejan, A., *Entropy Generation through Heat and Fluid Flow*, John Wiley and Sons, inc., 1982.
6. Holmes, P., Lumley, J.L., Berkooz, G., Rowley, C.W., *Turbulence, Coherent Structures, Dynamical Systems and Symmetry*, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
7. Smits, A.J., Dussauge, J., *Turbulent Shear Layers in Supersonic Flow*, Second Edition, Springer Science+Business Media Inc., 2006.
8. Gatsky, T.B., Bonnet, J.P., *Compressibility, Turbulence and High Speed Flow*, 2nd edition, Academic Press, Oxford, UK, 2013.
9. Ikawa, H., “Turbulent mixing layer experiments in supersonic flow,” Ph.D. Thesis, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, 1973.
10. Papamoschou, D., Roshko, A., “The compressible turbulent shear layer: An experimental study,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 197, 453–477, 1988. DOI: [10.1017/S0022112088003325](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112088003325).
11. Wygnanski, I., Fiedler, H.E., “The two-dimensional mixing region,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 41, 327–362, 1970. DOI: [10.1017/S0022112070000630](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112070000630).
12. Townsend, A.A., *The Structure of Turbulent Shear Flow*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 1976.
13. Mazzelli, F., Milazzo, A., “Performance analysis of a supersonic ejector cycle working with R245fa,” *International Journal of Refrigeration*, 49(0), 79-92, 2015. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2014.09.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2014.09.020).
14. Schlichting, H., *Boundary-Layer Theory*, 7th edition, McGraw-Hill, 1979.
15. Hickman, K.E., Hill, P.G., Gilbert, G.B., “Analysis and testing of compressible flow ejectors with variable area mixing tubes,” *Journal of Basic Engineering*, Trans. ASME, 407-416, 1972. DOI: [10.1115/1.3425436](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3425436).
16. Rajaratnam, N., *Turbulent Jets*, Elsevier scientific publishing company, 1976.
17. Barone, M.F., Oberkampf, W.L., Blottner, F.G., “Validation case study: Prediction of compressible turbulent mixing layer growth rate,” *AIAA Journal*, 44(7), 1488–1497, 2006. DOI: [10.2514/1.19919](https://doi.org/10.2514/1.19919).
18. Wilcox, D.C., “Dilatation-dissipation corrections for advanced turbulence models,” *AIAA Journal*, 30(11), 2639-2646, 1992.
19. Kim, S., Jin, J., Kwon, S., “Experimental investigation of an annular injection supersonic ejector,” *AIAA Journal*, 44(8), 1905–1908, 2006. DOI: [10.2514/1.16783](https://doi.org/10.2514/1.16783).
20. Srikrishnan, A.R., Kurian, J., Sriramulu, V., “Experimental study on mixing enhancement by petal nozzle in supersonic flow,” *Journal of Propulsion and Power*, 12(1), 165-169, 1996. DOI: [10.2514/3.24006](https://doi.org/10.2514/3.24006).
21. Chandrasekhara, M.S., Krothapalli, A., Baganoff, D., “Performance characteristics of an underexpanded multiple jet ejector,” *Journal of Propulsion and Power*, 7(3), 462-464, 1991. DOI: [10.2514/3.23348](https://doi.org/10.2514/3.23348).
22. Bejan, A., Lorente, S., *Design with Constructal Theory*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 2008.