Hydrodynamic investigation of slurry flows in horizontal narrow rectangular channels
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ABSTRACT

Despite the extensive research on the liquid-solid two-phase flow in horizontal circular tubes, there is rarely any discussion over the liquid-solid two-phase hydrodynamics and the solid phase diffusion features in horizontal narrow rectangular channels. To make up for the gap, this paper employs the experimental method and CFD-DEM to investigate the hydrodynamics of glass beads-water slurry flows in a 12-mm tall horizontal rectangular channel, and explore the slurry flow features of the system at the velocity of 1.0m/s. The main conclusions are as follows. First, a dilute disperse phase and a dense cluster phase were formed; Second, in the vertical direction, the solid concentration is positively correlated with the degree of asymmetry of solid velocity profiles, but negatively with that of solid concentration profiles; Third, three distinct regions in the slurry flows exhibited different standard variances of solid velocity and solid concentration. This research provides new insights into the liquid-solid two-phase flow in horizontal narrow rectangular channels.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The liquid-solid flow, also known as slurry flow, is commonplace in industrial applications. In the past years, considerable efforts have been devoted to several important indicators of slurry flow in horizontal pipe, such as pressure gradient [1-5], concentration and velocity distribution [6-11], and critical deposition velocity [12-14]. However, the existing studies have been limited to circular tubes with greater-than-50.7mm diameter. Few of them have probed into slurry flows in the horizontal narrow rectangular channel, a typical component of welded plate heat exchangers. To the author’s knowledge, there is rarely any discussion over the liquid-solid two-phase hydrodynamics and the solid phase diffusion features in horizontal narrow rectangular channels.

To make up for the gap, this paper probes into the features of glass beads-water slurry flows and the solid dispersion at the particle-scale level. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the governing equations; Section 3 conducts two series of experiments to obtain the relationship between pressure drop and flow velocity; Section 4 is a 3D CFD-DEM-based numerical simulation of system under periodic boundary condition at the velocity of 1.0m/s; Section 5 analyses the flow behaviours of liquid-solid phases and the vertical dispersion intensity of the particles; Section 6 wraps up this paper with some meaningful conclusions [15-18].

2. GOVERNING EQUATIONS

2.1. Governing equations for liquid motion

The governing equations of the liquid phase are given as follows:

$$\frac{\partial (\alpha \rho_f)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\alpha \rho_f \mathbf{u}_f) = \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\mu_f}{\sigma_f} \nabla \alpha \right)$$

(1)

$$\frac{\partial (\alpha \rho_f \mathbf{u}_f)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\alpha \rho_f \mathbf{u}_f \mathbf{u}_f) = -\alpha \nabla P + \nabla \cdot (\alpha \mathbf{u}_f) - \mathbf{S}_f + \alpha \rho_f \mathbf{g}$$

(2)

where \(f\) is the liquid-phase indicator; \(t, \rho, \mu_f, \alpha, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{g}\) and \(\mathbf{r}\) are the time instant, density, pressure, turbulent viscosity, volume fraction, velocity, gravitational acceleration and stress tensor, respectively; \(\sigma_f\) is the turbulent Schmidt number for the volume fraction \(\sigma_f=0.1\); \(\mathbf{S}_f\) is the source term of liquid-solid interaction. The source term is calculated by the following equation according to the Newton’s third law [19].

$$\mathbf{S}_f = \frac{1}{V_{cell}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \mathbf{F}_{d,i} + \mathbf{F}_{Saffman,i} + \mathbf{F}_{Magnus,i} \right)$$

(3)

where \(V_{cell}\) and \(N\) are the cell volume and the number of intracellular particles, respectively; \(\mathbf{F}_{d,i}, \mathbf{F}_{Saffman,i}\) and \(\mathbf{F}_{Magnus,i}\)
are the drag force, the Saffman lift force and the Magnus lift force acting on the mass centre of particle \(i\), respectively.

The standard high-Reynolds number form of the \(k-e\) model was introduced to depict turbulence, one of the key features of the slurry flows.

2.2. Governing equations for particle motion

Assuming that the slurry flows contain a bulk of particles denoted as \(1, 2, 3, \ldots, l, \ldots\), then the translational and rotational motions of particle \(i\) are governed by the following equations.

\[
m_i \frac{d \mathbf{u}_i}{dt} = m_i \mathbf{g} + \sum_{j \neq i} \left( F_{u,i,j} + F_{s,i,j} \right) - V \nabla p + \mathbf{F}_{d,i} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{Magnus},i} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{Saffman},i}
\]

\[
I_i \frac{d \mathbf{w}_i}{dt} = \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbf{T}_{s,i,j}
\]

where \(V, m, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{\omega}, T\) and \(I\) are the particle volume, mass, translational velocity, angular velocity, torque and rotary inertia, respectively; \(F_{u,i,j}\) and \(F_{s,i,j}\) are normal contact force and tangential contact force. These two forces are obtained by the following equations.

\[
F_{u,i,j} = -k_n \delta_{u,i,j} \mathbf{n}_j - \eta_n \left( \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{n}_j \right) \mathbf{n}_j
\]

\[
F_{s,i,j} = \min \left\{ -k_s \delta_{s,i,j} \mathbf{s}_j - \eta_s \mathbf{G}_s \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{sliding}} \left| F_{u,i,j} \right| \mathbf{s}_j \right\}
\]

where \(\delta_u\) and \(\delta_s\) are normal displacement and tangential displacement between particles \(i\) and \(j\), respectively; \(\mathbf{n}\) and \(\mathbf{s}\) are the normal and tangential unit vectors from particle \(i\) to particle \(j\), respectively; \(k_n, k_s, \eta_n, \eta_s\) and \(f_{\text{sliding}}\) are the normal spring coefficient, tangential spring coefficient, normal damping coefficient, tangential damping coefficient, and sliding friction coefficient, respectively; \(\mathbf{G}\) and \(\mathbf{G}_s\) are the normal and tangential relative velocities between particle \(i\) and particle \(j\), respectively.

The rolling friction model is implemented as below in the form of torque:

\[
\mathbf{T}_{s,i,j} = -f_r \sum_{j \neq i} \left| F_{s,i,j} \right| \mathbf{s}_j \mathbf{\omega}
\]

where \(f_r\) is the rolling friction coefficient; \(L\) is the distance between the mass centre of particle \(i\) and the contact point.

3. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND MATERIAL PROPERTIES

The author built a test rig (Figure 1) in Hebei University of Technology to obtain flow rates, pressure drop and mean volumetric concentration of solids. The test rig consists of a slurry tank (volume: 660L), a slurry pump (rate: 27m³/h; lift: 45m), a rectangular test component (LWH: 2,412mm×120mm×12mm), and several connecting pipes (ID: 32mm). Our research is targeted at the rectangular test component.

During the measurement, the loop containing rectangular test component was bypassed to control the flow rate. The volumetric flow rate of the slurry was recorded by an electromagnetic flow meter (full scale: 28m³/h; accuracy: 0.5%).

The pressure drops over the 1,400mm-long section was captured by differential pressure transmitters (full scale: 3,000Pa; accuracy: 0.2%). The measuring section is 900 mm away from the channel entrance and 212 mm away from the exit.

Figure 1. Experiment setup

Figure 2. Micrograph of the glass beads

The experiment adopts glass beads with density of 2,477 kg/m³. Figure 2 presents the micrograph of the glass beads acquired by field emission electronic microscope. It is observed that the glass beads are spherical in shape. The particle size distribution (Figure 3) was determined by laser scattering analyser. It can be seen that the mean diameter of the glass beads is 120.2μm with a geometric standard variance.
of 1.27. The geometric standard variance is less than 1.5, indicating that the glass beads obey a narrow distribution [20].

Figure 3. Size distribution of the particles
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Table 1. Physical and numerical parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slurry</td>
<td>case A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>superficial flow velocity</td>
<td>1.0 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean solid volume fraction</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid phase (water) viscosity</td>
<td>8.0 × 10⁻⁴ Pas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>density</td>
<td>995.7 kgm⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid phase (glass beads) number of particles</td>
<td>176 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diameter</td>
<td>120.2 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>density</td>
<td>2.477 kgm⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sphericity</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal/tangential spring constant</td>
<td>1 000 Nm⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal/tangential restitution coefficient</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coefficient of sliding friction</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coefficient of rolling friction</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the simulation, periodic boundary conditions were applied in the length and width direction for all variables in both the CFD and DEM aspects. The pipe length was made as long as computationally tractable. Therefore, the computational domain is 100mm (X direction) in length, 1.0mm (Y direction) in width, and 12mm (Z direction) in height. The domain was divided into 800×8×96 structured elements along the X, Y, and Z directions, respectively. Since the element size is roughly equal to particle diameter, the divided particle volume method was adopted to obtain the interphase interaction force and the volume fraction field [21].

Two cases were analysed without changing any parameter but the mean solid volume fraction.

Monodisperse glass beads with diameter of 120.2μm were selected for the simulation. The physical and numerical parameters are listed in Table 1.

5. MATH

5.1 Model validation

Due to the narrowness of the channel, it is impossible to acquire the solid concentration along the vertical direction through the experiment (Section 3). Thus, the proposed model was validated by the experiment of D.R. Kuashal et al. The experiment is conducted in the tube with the length of 3m and the diameter of 54.9mm. During the model validation, both the pressure gradient and the vertical solid distribution were measured, and periodical boundary condition was applied along the length for both phases. The main parameters are set as follows: the surface slurry velocity was 1.0 m/s; the mean volume fraction of 125 μm-diameter solids was 9.4%; the geometrical model was 274.5mm in length and 54.9mm in inner diameter; The liquid-solid interaction parameters are the same as those in Table 1.

The pressure drop was predicted to be 289 Pa/m, while the measured data was 261 Pa/m. According to the vertical solid distribution in Figure 4, the simulated data agree well with the experimental results. This means the proposed model accurately captured the key hydrodynamic properties of the slurry flows in horizontal channel.

5.2 Measurement units and numbers

Figure 5 illustrates the pressure drop per unit length against the flow velocity of the 12mm-tall horizontal rectangular channel. For the slurry flows, the pressure drop decreased monotonously with the reduction of the velocity until a critical value was reached. The critical value, called the critical deposition velocity, corresponds to the position of the bottom-most particles. The critical deposition velocity, positively correlated with the solid concentration, was 0.76m/s and 0.85m/s in cases A and B, respectively. Subsequently, the thickness of the static bed thickness gradually went up with further reduction of the flow velocity. When the bed thickness surpassed the cross-section height of the channel, the channel was clogged up with deposition. Therefore, the pressure drop is negatively correlated with the critical deposition velocity. The trend is different from that of a single-phase system. In addition, the pressure drop increased with solid volume fraction at any given slurry velocity, as more energy was consumed to keep more particles moving. The simulated results echo with the experimental data at different solid concentrations and flow velocities, indicating that the proposed model can make accurate predictions.

Figure 4. Comparison of the predicted and measured vertical solid distributions
was introduced to quantify the hydrodynamics. With the addition of the solid phase, a start-up procedure appeared until the pseudo-steady-state was reached. In the simulation, the pseudo-steady-state is observed at $t = 1.2s$. Thus, the data obtained in the first 1.2s were discarded, and the time-averaged analysis was performed on the data measured from 1.2s to 5s.

Figure 7. Time-averaged solid volume fraction along the vertical direction

Figure 7 displays the time-averaged solid concentration profiles along the vertical direction. As shown in the figure, the solid distributions are asymmetrical around the centreline of the channel. The degree of asymmetry decreased with the increase in solid concentration, owing to the interference enhancing effect among solid particles.

In addition, the maximum concentration points situated above the bottom of the channel. This distribution is attributable to the existence of a hydrodynamic lift force, which repelled particles from the walls. Similar phenomenon was observed in other experiments [22, 23]. The effect of the hydrodynamic lift force largely depends on the near-wall liquid-solid interaction. There are two mechanisms of the interaction: the viscous “liquid-like” interaction and mechanical interaction [24]. For fine-particle slurries, the particles can be enclosed within the viscous sublayer, pushing up the density and the viscosity of the carrying fluid. For coarse-particle slurries, the particles are too large to enter the viscous sub-layer or impinge with channel walls. Therefore, the solid distribution will exhibit an anomalous pattern if the ratio of particle diameter to the size of viscous sub-layer is excessively large. To quantify this behaviour, a dimensionless particle size was proposed for general slurry flows [25]:

$$d_p^* = \frac{\rho_d d_p u^*_f}{\mu_f}$$

(9)

where $u^*_f$ is the friction velocity of the fluid, i.e. the square root of the ratio between fluid wall shear stress and fluid density. According to the above equation, the time-averaged value of $d_p^*$ is calculated as 10.24 for case A and 10.03 for case B. Both values are greater than 9.0, implying that near-wall particles were not trapped in the thin viscous sublayer, but interacted with the viscous-turbulent interface [19]. Then, the interaction drove the particles away from the bottom wall, resulting in a particle-lean region.

Figure 8 shows the time-averaged solid velocity distribution along the vertical direction. The velocity profiles are asymmetrical, with maximum values falling in the upper part
of the centreline. The distribution pattern is explained as follows. As more particles travelled in the lower region, more energy was dissipated, leading to lower velocity. With the increase in solid concentration, the number of particles grew faster in the lower part than in the upper part. Thus, the velocity profile in the upper part further increased, while that in the lower part declined accordingly.

![Figure 8](image1.png)

**Figure 8.** Time-averaged solid velocity along the vertical direction

5.5 Statistics properties

Statistics were extracted to gain more insights on slurry flow features. The standard variances of solid concentration and solid velocity are respectively presented in Figure 9 and Figure 10, where \( u, v \) and \( w \) are the velocity components along the \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) directions, respectively.

For simplicity, three distinct layers, denoted as Regions I, II and III, were partitioned. Region I lies near the bottom wall. The dominant force in this region is the hydrodynamic lift force (Section 5.4). The largest standard variance of solid concentration and \( u \) belonged to this region. Located right above Region I, Region II witnessed the most violent fluctuation of \( v \) because it contains highly collisional particles and stores high liquid turbulence energy. Due to the successive passing of the dense phase and the dilute phase, there is little change to the standard variance of solid concentration and \( u \) across Region II. Region III is situated on top of both Regions I and II. In this region, the solid concentration reached the minimum value. The standard variance dropped rapidly to zero because the slurry flows are dilute in nature.

The particle velocity has a direct bearing on solid distribution. The profiles of the covariance between solid velocity and solid concentration along the vertical direction are presented in Figure 11. The \( (u'_p \alpha'_p) \) was small in Region III under the presence of few solid particles, while \( (v'_p \alpha'_p) \) was uncorrelated over the entire length of vertical direction. In Regions I and II, the \( (w'_p \alpha'_p) \) remained positive, a signal of the elevation of dense clusters. Meanwhile, the particles in these clusters were accelerated horizontally to a speed faster than that of the discrete particles, leading to a positive \( (u'_p \alpha'_p) \). These behaviours can be explained by the Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities under high shear in slurry velocity and steep gradient in solid concentration.

![Figure 9](image2.png)

**Figure 9.** Standard variance profiles of solid concentration

![Figure 10](image3.png)

**Figure 10.** Standard variance profiles of solid velocity
5.6 Solid dispersion

Solid dispersion is closely related to particle transfer characteristic, especially in the procedure of heat transfer [26]. The solid dispersion intensity can be described with the solid dispersion coefficient. In general, the solid dispersion coefficient is evaluated either by the micro method or the macro method [27]. The former is grounded on particle displacement, and the latter on the solid concentration and a Fichian-type diffusion equation. The micro method was selected for this research. For a specific particle $i$, the solid dispersion coefficient can be evaluated with Einstein’s equations:

$$D_i = \frac{(\Delta r_i)^2}{2\Delta t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $D_i$ and $\Delta r_i$ are the local dispersion coefficient and displacement of particle $i$ along a specific direction, respectively; $\Delta t$ is the time interval. To disclose the local solid dispersion property, the dispersion coefficient can be calculated by averaging the dispersion coefficients of all particles in the region:

$$\overline{D} = \frac{1}{N_p} \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} \frac{(\Delta r_i)^2}{2\Delta t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Figure 12 shows the time-varying profiles of the vertical solid dispersion coefficient. Overall, the dispersion coefficient fluctuated around a constant value because of the chaotic and turbulent motion. Comparatively speaking, the mean dispersion coefficient of Case B is slightly smaller than that of Case A. A possible explanation lies in the suppression of solid vertical motion by the increase in solid concentration. Similarly, the particles in Region III experienced more intense vertical dispersion than those in Region I in either case 1 or case 2.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Through experiment and numerical simulation, this paper investigates the hydrodynamics of slurry flows in a 12-mm tall horizontal rectangular channel. Based on the acquired slurry flow features, some conclusions were derived as follows:

1. A dilute disperse phase and a dense cluster phase were formed. The dense cluster phase was elevated and moved faster than the surrounding dispersed phase.
2. In the vertical direction, the solid concentration is positively correlated with the degree of asymmetry of solid velocity profiles, but negatively with that of solid concentration profiles. The vertical solid dispersion became less intense with the increase of solid concentration.
3. Three distinct regions were identified in the slurry flows: the near-wall region, the highly-collisional shear flow region, and the dilute particle-laden region. The standard variances of streamwise solid velocity and solid concentration peaked in the near-wall region, as particles were away from the bottom wall by hydrodynamic lift force; the variances remained stable in the highly-collisional shear flow region, and plunged rapidly to zero in the dilute particle-laden region.
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