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1. INTRODUCTION

Taylor dispersion is the process of the transportation of a cloud contaminant by means of two independent mechanisms, convection and molecular diffusion. The Taylor dispersion is extensively connected to chemical engineering [1, 2], environmental protection [3–7], and physiological environment. The conventional endeavor of this sort of examination was started by Taylor [8]. Subsequently, Taylor dispersion is generalized by Aris [9] by fixing the attention on the movement of the center of gravity of the slug and growth of its higher order moments. Barton [10] pointed out the mistake in the Aris solution methodology and resolved it accordingly. However, the solution acquired by Aris is satisfactory as it concerned the asymptotic behavior of the second moment. Another self-ruling technique is displayed by Gill & Sankarasubramanian [11], which is extended by more general inlet and boundary conditions in their successive papers [12–14].

There are a substantial number of reviews on Taylor dispersion that consider two independent perspectives in the Newtonian framework: (a) flow oscillation, and (b) chemical reaction. Both the viewpoints have their own particular significance while studying the dispersion process. Flow oscillation commonly appears in blood flow, airway gas mixing, extraction columns, etc. Scattering of species in the oscillatory stream is examined by Purcell [15], Ng [16], and Mazumder & Paul [17] etc. In the meantime, chemical reaction at the wall and within the bulk flow receives parallel attention. A variety of useful issues of mass transport involves wall reaction such as, open tube chromatography, environmental contaminant transport, and physiological transport etc. Again, the reaction at the bulk of the liquid is found in the hydrolysis of ester, gas absorption in an agitated tank with a chemical reaction etc. Some established work in this regard may include, among others, Aris [18], Gupta & Gupta [19], Sankarasubramanian & Gill [19], Ng [16] and Mazumder & Paul [17] and so forth.

The study of dispersion through non-Newtonian fluids receives less attention regardless of its abundant applications in polymers, biochemical processing, and cardiovascular flow etc. More specifically, the investigation of scattering in Casson liquids has applications in physiological liquid flow, as blood behavior mostly characterized by Casson fluid [20] under a particular environment. An investigation of scattering in non-Newtonian liquid (Bingham, Casson, and Power Law Fluids), with a view to understanding the rheology of blood on the dispersion process, was conducted by Sharp and then by Dash et al. [21]. The theory is extended by Nagarani et al. [22] incorporating wall absorption through a pipe, and again, it has extended to the annular pipe in a subsequent paper [23]. The authors [24] likewise concentrated the dispersion phenomena in Casson liquid through a conduit by applying the generalized dispersion model and establishing the functional relationship of a dispersion coefficient with a Womersley frequency number, Schmidt number, yield stress, and fluctuating pressure component. As of late, Rana & Murthy [25, 26] investigated the large time behavior of the axial dispersion process in an oscillatory flow in presence of wall reaction.

In literature, the studies on the combined effect of homogeneous and heterogeneous reactions on dispersion have been considered only in a Newtonian fluid. To the best of our knowledge, there is no attempt to observe the dispersion of species under simultaneous chemical reactions in the bulk flow and the tube wall in the non-Newtonian fluid.
The present work, attempts are made to examine dispersion process in Casson liquid through a tube in presence of both reactions. In short the present study probably the fresh attempt and a generalization of the work of Rana and Murthy [26]. The specific objective of the current study: (1) to cultivate a mathematical model of Taylor dispersion in oscillatory flow field; (2) to illustrate and characterize the behavior of dispersion coefficient w.r.t chemically active solute; and (3) to study the break through curve in above background.

2. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

2.1 Momentum equation

A unidirectional, axial, fully-developed laminar flow of an incompressible liquid is considered through a circular pipe of radius \( \hat{a} \). The coordinate system is chosen here is cylindrical, where the axial and radial co-ordinates are represented by \( \hat{z} \) and \( \hat{r} \) (cap denotes dimensional quantity). Axi-symmetry are assumed and hence all quantities are independent of \( \theta \).

We assume the regions of Casson liquid and the plug flow in the Casson region by \( \hat{N}_t \) and \( \hat{N}_p \) respectively, where

\[
\hat{N}_t = \{(\hat{r}, \hat{z}): 0 \leq \hat{r} \leq \hat{a}, -\infty < \hat{z} \leq \infty\}.
\]

\[
\hat{N}_p = \{(\hat{r}, \hat{z}): 0 \leq \hat{r} \leq \hat{r}_p, -\infty < \hat{z} \leq \infty\}.
\]

The governing equation of motion for the flow in the axial direction are given by

\[
\hat{p} \frac{du}{dt} - \frac{d\hat{p}}{d\hat{z}} = \rho \left\{ \frac{\hat{\tau}}{\hat{r}} - \frac{1}{\hat{r}} \frac{\partial (\hat{\tau} \hat{z}^2 \hat{r})}{\partial \hat{r}} \right\}.
\]

where \( \hat{p} \) is the density of the flowing liquid. The axial pressure gradient represented by \( \frac{d\hat{p}}{d\hat{z}} = \rho \hat{p}_0 + \rho_1 \sin(\hat{\omega} \hat{r}) \), \( \rho_0, \rho_1 \) are the steady and oscillatory part of the pressure gradient and \( \hat{\omega} \) is the frequency of the pressure fluctuation.

Constitutive equation

\[
\frac{1}{\hat{r}} \hat{\tau} \leq \hat{r} \leq \frac{1}{\hat{r}} \hat{\tau} + \left( -\hat{\mu}_e \frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{r}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \hat{\tau} \geq \hat{\tau},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{r}} = 0, \quad \hat{\tau} \leq \hat{\tau},
\]

where \( \hat{\tau} \) is the yield stress and \( \hat{\mu}_e \) the Newtonian viscosity of the liquid. From the Eq. (4), it is obvious that whenever \( \hat{\tau} \leq \hat{\tau}, \) plug flow will occur.

The boundary conditions are

\[
\hat{\tau}, \hat{u} \text{ is finite at } \hat{r} = 0, \quad \hat{u} = 0 \text{ at } \hat{r} = \hat{a}.
\]

The following dimensionless quantities are used

\[
\frac{\hat{D}}{a^2}, \quad \frac{\hat{r}}{\hat{a}}, \quad \frac{\hat{z}}{\hat{a}}, \quad \frac{\hat{C}}{C_0}, \quad \frac{c}{u}, \quad \frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{u}_0}, \quad \frac{\hat{u}}{u}, \quad \frac{\hat{p}_0}{p_0}
\]

\[
\hat{u}_0 = \frac{\hat{a}^2}{4\mu_e} \hat{p}_0, \quad \hat{\tau} = \frac{\hat{r}_c}{\mu_e \left( \frac{\hat{u}_0}{\hat{a}} \right)}, \quad \hat{\gamma} = \frac{\hat{u}_0}{\mu_e \left( \frac{\hat{u}_0}{\hat{a}} \right)}, \quad e = \frac{p}{p_0}
\]

Using the scaling as given in Eq. (6), the initial-boundary value problem (IBVP) i.e., Eqns. (3)-(5) reduces to.

\[
\frac{1}{Sc} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 4p(t) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( rr \frac{\partial r}{\partial r} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{\hat{\tau}^2}{\hat{r}} = \frac{1}{\hat{r}} \left( -\frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{r}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \hat{\tau} \geq \hat{\tau},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{r}} = 0, \quad \hat{\tau} \leq \hat{\tau},
\]

\[
\hat{\tau}, \hat{u} \text{ is finite at } \hat{r} = 0, \quad \hat{u} = 0 \text{ at } \hat{r} = 1
\]

2.2 Transport Equation

In the uni-directional flow described above, a reactive solute with initial concentration \( \hat{C}(0,\hat{r},\hat{z}) \) is introduced. The dimensionless convection-diffusion equation satisfied by the solute concentration is

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{C}}{\partial t} + u(r,t) \frac{\partial \hat{C}}{\partial \hat{z}} = \frac{1}{Pe} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{C}}{\partial \hat{r}} \right) + \frac{1}{Pe} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{C}}{\partial \hat{z}^2} - \kappa \hat{C},
\]

\[
\hat{C}(r,0) = \delta(z), \quad 0 < \hat{r} < 1
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{C}}{\partial \hat{r}} = 0 \text{ at } \hat{r} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{C}}{\partial \hat{r}} = -\beta \hat{C} \text{ at } \hat{r} = 1
\]

\[
\hat{C}(t,r,x) = 0 = \frac{\partial \hat{C}}{\partial \hat{z}}(t,r,x)
\]

Here \( \kappa = \frac{ka^2}{\tilde{b}} \) is the bulk flow reaction rate, \( \beta = \hat{b} \tilde{a} \) is wall absorption and \( Pe = \frac{u a \hat{a}}{Pe} \) is the Péclet number that measures the ratio of the characteristic time of the diffusion process to that of the convection process. The Eq. (13) tells that the wall of the conduit is permeable whereas Eq. (14) reflects the fact that the total amount of solute is finite.

3. SOLUTION METHODOLOGY

3.1 Velocity distribution

To solve the coupled Eqns. (7) & (9), we consider regular perturbation method by treating \( 1/Sc \) as a perturbation
parameter, as. Sc is very large in case of blood flow. The velocity distribution [25] is:

\[ u(r,t) = \begin{cases} 
    u^-(r,t), & r \in \mathcal{R}_p \\
    u^+(r,t), & r \in \mathcal{R}_r - \mathcal{R}_p 
\end{cases} \quad (15) \]

where

\[ u^-(r,t) = \frac{p(t)}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{8}{3} \sqrt{\frac{r}{r_p}} + 2 \frac{r}{r_p} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{r^2}{r_p^2} \right] - \frac{e}{2} p'(t) \left[ \frac{3}{16} \frac{r^2}{r_p} (4 - r^2) - \frac{r}{r_p} \frac{1144}{16} + \frac{1147}{147} \right] - \frac{r}{9} \frac{320}{63} + \frac{16}{441} r^2 + \frac{65}{4} \frac{r^4}{9} \]

\[ u^+(r,t) = p(t) \left[ (1 - r^2) + 2 \frac{r}{r_p} (1 - r) - \frac{8}{3} \sqrt{\frac{r}{r_p}} (1 - r^{3/2}) \right] - \frac{e}{2} p'(t) \left[ \frac{3}{16} \frac{r^2}{r_p} (4 - r^2) - \frac{r}{r_p} \frac{1144}{16} + \frac{1147}{147} \right] - \frac{16}{3} (r + r^{3/2}) + \frac{424}{147} r^{7/2} \]

\[ u^+(r,t) = \frac{320}{63} + \frac{128}{63} r^{3/2} + \frac{64}{9} r^{3/2} \]

where \( u^-(r,t) \) is the velocity in the plug flow region \( \mathcal{R}_p \), having plug radius \( r_p = \frac{y_p}{p(t)} \), \( p(t) = 1 + e \sin(\alpha^2 Sc t) \), \( \alpha^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{v} \), \( \alpha \) is Womersley frequency parameter, \( e \) is the amplitude of the fluctuating pressure component and \( u^+(r,t) \) is the velocity of the remaining portion \( (\mathcal{R}_r - \mathcal{R}_p) \) of the tube.

### 3.2 Aris-Barton approach

Following the method of integral moment proposed by Aris [9] and modified by Barton [10], we define the \( p \)-th moment of the distribution of the solute in the filament through \( r \) at time \( t \) as,

\[ C_p(t, r) = \int_{-\infty}^{z_p} \langle C(t, r, z) \rangle dz \quad (18) \]

and the concentration distribution of the solute over the cross-section of the tube is given by,

\[ \bar{C}_p(t) = \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\Delta r} rC_p(t, r, \theta, \phi) d\theta d\phi}{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\Delta r} r d\theta d\phi}. \quad (19) \]

So using Eq. (18), the diffusion Eq. (10) subject to initial and boundary conditions (11)-(13) can be rewritten as:

\[ \frac{\partial C_p}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial C_p}{\partial r} \right) = -p(u(r) C_{p-1}) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{Pe^2} p(p-1) C_{p-2} - \kappa C_p. \quad (20) \]

With

\[ C_p(0, r) = \begin{cases} 
    \frac{1}{Pc^2} & \text{for } p = 0 \\
    0 & \text{for } p > 0 
\end{cases}, \quad \frac{\partial C_p}{\partial r} = \begin{cases} 
    0 & \text{at } r = 0 \\
    -\beta C_p & \text{at } r = 1. \quad (21) \end{cases} \]

Eq. (20) subject to initial and boundary condition (21) have been solved numerically by applying finite difference method based on Crank-Nicholson implicit scheme. At the beginning, discretized the Eq. (20) and Eq. (21) in the domain (i.e. \( 0 \leq r \leq 1 \)). As a result the differential equation converted into following difference equation at each grid point \( (i, j) \):

\[ P_j C_p(i+1, j+1) + Q_j C_p(i+1, j) + R_j C_p(i, j-1) = S_j, \quad (22) \]

where \( P_j, Q_j, R_j \) and \( S_j \) are the matrix elements.

The finite difference form of the initial condition is,

\[ C_p(i, j) = \begin{cases} 
    1 & \text{for } p = 0 \\
    0 & \text{for } p \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (23) \]

and that of boundary conditions are

\[ C_p(i+1, 0) = C_p(i+1, 2), \]

\[ C_p(i, M-1) = C_p(i, M-1) \]

\[ -2\beta \Delta r C_p(i, M-1). \quad (24) \]

The grid \( i \) indicating the time and \( j \) indicating spatial coordinate. Thus \( i=0 \) corresponds to the initial time and the subsequent time is obtained from the relation \( t_i = \Delta t \times (i-1) \) where \( \Delta t \) is the time increment. Now to discretize the spatial coordinate, we first determine the plug region with the help of the relation \( r_e = r_p \), \( p(t) \), accordingly, we have set two different step width \( \Delta r_p \) and \( \Delta r_r \), first one for plug core region \( \mathcal{R}_p \) and other one for the remaining part \( (\mathcal{R}_r - \mathcal{R}_p) \).

Finally, the system of algebraic equations is solved by Thomas algorithm [27] with the view of prescribed initial and boundary conditions. All these computations are performed by devolving a Matlab code.
In our analysis, we choose \( \Delta t = 0.00001, \Delta \xi = \frac{r_x - 0}{M_1 - 1} \) and \( \Delta \xi = \frac{1 - r_x}{M_2 - 1} \), where \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) are a number of grid point in the \( R_x \) and \( (R_x - R_x) \). In all the cases we have taken \( P e = 10^3 \). Once the value of \( C_p(i, j) \) is calculated, from Eq.(19) one can able to calculate \( \overline{C}_p \) by applying any suitable method of numerical integration with the known values of \( u(r,t) \) and \( C_r \). In the present article, we follow Simpson 1/3 rule.

The \( p \)-th order central moment of the concentration distribution about the mean can be defined as

\[
\mu_p(t) = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(z-z_g)^p C(t, r, z) dr dz
\]

where \( z_g = \overline{C}_1/\overline{C}_0 \) is the centroid or first moment of the solute and \( \overline{C}_0 \) represents the total mass of the reactive solute in the whole volume of the tube. The expressions for central moments can be obtained from (25) as,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_0(t) &= 1 \\
\mu_1(t) &= 0 \\
\mu_2(t) &= \frac{\overline{C}_1}{\overline{C}_0} - z_g^2 \\
\mu_3(t) &= \frac{\overline{C}_1}{\overline{C}_0} - 3z_g \mu_2 - z_g^3 \\
\mu_4(t) &= \frac{\overline{C}_1}{\overline{C}_0} - 4z_g \mu_3 - 6z_g^2 \mu_2 - z_g^4
\end{align*}
\]

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present analysis deals with the longitudinal dispersion of reactive solute in an oscillatory flow when it is introduced into a circular tube by modeling the flowing fluid as a Casson liquid. In the introduction section, we have underlined the significance of reactive solute in dispersion process and accordingly, we have modeled the problem. The effect of homogeneous and heterogeneous reaction on dispersion is highlighted against the foundation of the outcomes in regard to inert solute. The whole analysis is performed on the basis of Aris-Barton moment method and the higher order moment equations are solved numerically. Although the numerical scheme adopted in the present review is unconditionally stable, but we have validated our result by some existing work. The results are found to be in good agreement with sankarasubramanian & Gill [28] when \( \tau_y = 0 \), Nagarani et al. [22] when \( e = 0 \) and Rana & Murty [25] when \( \kappa = 0 \). Before going to definite discourse, we have fixed the parameters involved in the analysis by considering previous investigation and theological perspective. The table documented below are the conceivable range for the involving parameters:

### Table 1. Ranges of controlling parameter considered in the present study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range of Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \tau_y )</td>
<td>0 to 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \kappa )</td>
<td>0 to 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>0.1 to 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>0 to 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \epsilon )</td>
<td>0 to 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the present analysis, we mainly focus our attention in three transport coefficients viz. Exchange coefficient, Convection coefficient and dispersion coefficient. We also study the mean concentration on a similar foundation. All three transport coefficient and mean concentration are function of central moment defined in Eq. (26). The interrelated relationship of the four elements with central moment, and the parametric effect on these four element are elaborated in the following subsections:

#### 4.1 Exchange coefficient

The exchange coefficient \( K_0 \) appears due to reaction and can be figured from the analogy that the total mass decays exponentially with time as per the following relation:

\[
K_0(t) = C_0(t) e^{\frac{\beta}{\kappa} \int_0^t K_0(t) dt}
\]

From Eq. (27) it is clear that \( K_0 \) is function of \( \beta \) and \( \kappa \) only. The variation of \( K_0 \) with time for different \( \beta \) and \( \kappa \) is portrayed in Figure –K_0 is an increasing function of time but an equilibrium gradually set up between solute transfer from the boundary to the central core region due to molecular diffusion and solute transfer from boundary into wall. Also 
\( -K_0 \) increases with the increment of \( \beta \), as an increase in \( \beta \) consumes material more rapidly than it can be supplied by molecular diffusion, consequently, the system becomes diffusion controlled and reaches to its steady state for large \( \beta \). In like manner, increase in \( \kappa \) results increase in \( -K_0 \).

The above perception furthermore maintained by Figure 2 and Figure 3. When \( \kappa = 0 \), the negative exchange curve w.r.t time qualitatively and quantitatively agree with sankarasubramanian & Gill [28], Nagarani et al. [22] and Rana & Murty [25].

#### 4.2 Convection coefficient

Convection coefficient arises naturally in the study due to the fluid movement. As the center of mass moves with fluid velocity, the Convection coefficient \( K_i \) is given by,

\[
K_i = \frac{dz_i}{dt}
\]

Convection coefficient is the function of \( \tau_y, e, \beta \) and \( \kappa \). The first two parameters are due to fluid velocity and last two, because of the consideration that the species is reactive. The behavior of \( -K_i \) is pulsatile in nature, initially, species is convected with the velocity of the fluid along the flow, hence
the underlying speed of the species relies on \( \tau \) [Figure 4(a)]. The amplitude of fluctuation of \(-K_1\) initially varies with time and reaches to the constant value in the developed time [Figure 4(a, b)]. This non-transient state depends on other parameters also. By increasing \( \varepsilon \), one can also increase the amplitude \(-K_1\) [Figure 5(a, b)]. As expected, increase in \( \tau \) decreases the convection coefficient \(-K_1\) [Figure 4(a, b)]. Figure 6(a, b) and Figure 7, uncovers the reliance of wall observation on negative convection coefficient \(-K_1\) at a small and large time. From Figure 6(a, b) it is observed that with the increase in \( \beta \) negative convection coefficient \(-K_1\) increases, as the wall reaction enhance the transport of cloud contaminant towards the wall, subsequently \(-K_1\) increases, with \( \beta \). An identical behavior is observed for \( \kappa \) [Figure 8 and Figure 9(a, b)]

4.3 Dispersion coefficient

Because of velocity shear, the axial diffusion is negligible compare to radial diffusion. Aris [9] showed that the rate of change of variance is proportional to the sum of molecular diffusion coefficient along the axial direction and apparent dispersion coefficient (Taylor dispersion coefficient). Since the axial diffusion is negligible compared to the lateral diffusion, the apparent dispersion coefficient \( D_{\text{eff}} \) can be written as

\[
D_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d \mu_k}{dt}.
\] (29)

The apparent dispersion coefficient depends on yields stress \( \tau \), the amplitude of pressure fluctuation \( \varepsilon \), wall absorption parameter \( \beta \), womersly number \( \alpha \) and bulk flow reaction parameter \( \kappa \). As like negative convection coefficient, dispersion coefficient is also oscillatory in nature, and varying its amplitude initially, and gradually reaches to its non-transient state. As mentioned above this non-transient state depends on other factors like \( \tau \), \( \varepsilon \), \( \alpha \) etc. Figure 10(a, b) and Figure 11(a, b) attracted to underscore the impact of yield stress \( \tau \), on dispersion coefficient and is seen that the apparent dispersion coefficient \( D_{\text{eff}} \) is decreasing with \( \tau \), at a small as well as large time. The reason behind the decrement is velocity, the increase in yield stress, velocity decrease which, in turns decrease the dispersion coefficient. To observe the effect of wall absorption parameter, Figure 12 is presented and noticed that the wall absorption \( \beta \) helps to diminish the effective dispersion coefficient \( D_{\text{eff}} \). Variation of asymptotic dispersion coefficient \( D_{\text{eff}} \) with respect to \( \beta \) for different values of yield stress is also examined [Figure 16] at time \( t=1 \). The physical explanation for this effect is identical to that of Sankarasubramanian and Gill [28] Notable that a similar kind of trend is observed in the case of bulk flow reaction parameter in Figure 13 and Figure 17. This decrease in the dispersion coefficient with the increase in the reaction rate constant is based on the sound physical ground. The increase in \( \kappa \) leads to the growth in the number of moles of solute undergoing chemical reaction resulting in a drop-in dispersion coefficient. It is worth noting that the value of dispersion become negative for the high value of Womersly number and amplitude of pressure pulsation [Figure 14(a, b) and Figure 15(b)], i.e. species move back with the flow, and the reason is quite natural as back flow appears in the motion due to pulse.

4.4 Mean concentration

The moment method no longer gives any immediate expression for mean concentration but it is conceivable to surmise the mean concentration which can also be achieved by means of Hermite polynomials for the representation of non-Gaussian curve [29, 30] with the aid of first four central moments as follows,

\[
C_m(z,t) = \mathcal{C}_m(t)e^{-\eta^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(t) H_n(\eta),
\] (30)

Figure 1. Variation of negative exchange coefficient with time

Figure 2. Variation of negative exchange coefficient \(-K\) against \( \beta \) at \( t=1 \)

Figure 3. Variation of negative exchange coefficient \(-K_0\) against \( k \) at \( t=1 \)
where $\eta = \frac{(x-x_0)}{\sqrt{2\mu_2}}$, $z_g = \frac{c_1}{c_0}$, and $H_i$, the Hermite polynomials, satisfy the recurrence relation
\[
H_{i+1}(\eta) = 2\eta H_i(\eta) - 2iH_{i-1}(\eta), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{31}
\]
in which $H_0(\eta) = 1$.

The coefficients $a_i$’s are
\[
a_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\mu_2}}, \quad a_1 = 0, \quad a_2 = 0, \quad a_3 = \frac{\sqrt{2\alpha_2\nu_2}}{24}, \quad a_4 = \frac{\alpha_2\nu_2}{96}.
\]

Figure 4. Variation of negative convection coefficient with time for different values of yield stress when $\beta = 1$, $\epsilon = 0.5$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\kappa = 10$. (a) Small time (b) Large time

Figure 5. Variation of negative convection coefficient with time for different values of amplitude of pressure fluctuation when $\beta = 1$, $\tau = 0.05$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\kappa = 10$. (a) Small time (b) Large time

Figure 6. Variation of dispersion coefficient with time for different values of absorption parameter when $\tau = 0.05$, $\epsilon = 0.5$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\kappa = 10$. (a) Small time (b) Large time
Figure 7. Variation of negative convection coefficient $-K_1$ against $\beta$ when $\kappa = 10$ and $\tau_y = 0.02$ at $e = 0$.

Figure 8. Variation of negative convection coefficient against $\kappa$ when $\beta = 1$ and $\tau_y = 0.02$ at $e = 0$.

Figure 9. Variation of negative convection coefficient with time for different values of reaction parameter when $\beta = 1$, $e = 0.5$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\tau_y = 0.05$. (a) Small time (b) Large time.

Figure 10. Variation of negative Dispersion coefficient with time for different values of yield stress when $\beta = 1$, $e = 0.5$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\kappa = 10$. (a) Small time (b) Large time.
Figure 11. Variation of Dispersion coefficient with time for different values of $e$ when $\beta = 1$, $\kappa = 10$, $\alpha = 0.5$. (a) Small time (b) Large time

Figure 12. Variation of dispersion coefficient with time for different values of absorption parameter when $\tau_y = 0.05$, $e = 0.5$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\kappa = 10$. (a) Small time (b) Large time

Figure 13. Variation of Dispersion coefficient with time for different values of reaction parameter when $\tau_y = 0.05$, $e = 0.5$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\beta = 1$. (a) Small time (b) Large time

Figure 14. Variation of Dispersion coefficient with time for different values of absorption parameter when $\kappa = 10$, $e = 0.5$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\tau_y = 0.05$. (a) Small time (b) Large time
Figure 15. Variation of dispersion coefficient with time for when, $e = 0.5$, $\kappa = 10$, $\tau_y = 0.05$. (a) $\beta = 1$  (b) $\alpha = 4$

Figure 16. Variation of Dispersion coefficient $D_{eff}$ against $\beta$ at $t = 1$ when $e = 0.5$, $\alpha = 0.5$.

Figure 17. Variation of Dispersion coefficient $D_{eff}$ against $\kappa$ at $t = 1$ when $\beta = 1$, $e = 0.5$, $\tau_y = 0.05$. 
Figure 18. Distribution of mean concentration against the axial distance for (a) different values of the absorption parameter when \(\tau_y = 0.05\), \(\kappa = 10\), \(e = 0.5\), \(\alpha = 0.5\). (b) different values of the reaction parameter when \(\tau_y = 0.05\), \(\beta = 1\), \(e = 0.5\), \(\alpha = 0.5\). (c) different values of yield stress when \(\kappa = 10\), \(\beta = 1\), \(e = 0.5\), \(\alpha = 0.5\). (d) different time when \(\kappa = 10\), \(\beta = 1\), \(e = 0.5\)

Therefore, given the statistical parameters (26), the concentration distribution can be estimated from (30) at any given location in the axial direction and time. The variations of mean concentration distribution have been displayed in Figure 18 against the axial distance for different factors viz., yield stress, wall absorption, bulk flow reaction parameter etc. The peak of the mean concentration is essentially impacted by these elements. The Peak of the breakthrough curve decrease w.r.t yield stress, wall absorption parameter, and reaction parameter [Figure 18]. As we see the increase in yield stress results decrease in the effective dispersion coefficient and hence the mean concentration decreases in the axial direction. Again presence of boundary absorption at the wall, tracer’s material continuously depleted at the wall. So the increase in both types of reaction ensures the exhaustion of the reactive material in bulk of the fluid and at the wall boundary. Moreover, as time passes the dispersion is largely controlled by molecular diffusion and peak of the mean concentration deteriorate with time [Figure 18].

5. CONCLUSION

In this work, longitudinal dispersion of pulsatile stream of reactive species in presence of homogeneous and heterogeneous reaction are examined. The flow pulsation is arisen due to a periodic pressure gradient. It is found that for homogeneous reaction in the bulk of the liquid, the apparent dispersion coefficient and negative exchange coefficient decrease with increase in the reaction rate constant, the effect similar to the irreversible reaction in the boundary. In any case, both the reaction enhances the negative convection coefficient. The negative convection coefficient and apparent dispersion coefficient function of wall absorbing parameter yield stress while exchange coefficient is independent of yield stress. It is found that yield stress is in favor of decrement of the negative convection coefficient and the apparent dispersion coefficient. The present work clarifies the impacts of pulsatality, first order homogeneous and heterogeneously chemical reaction in Casson liquid, considerably nearer to that in a physiological circumstance in contrast with literature, yet far away to merit use with regards to a clinical circumstance.
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NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>radius of the pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ_y</td>
<td>yield stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>axial velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ₀</td>
<td>Newtonian viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>solute concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>molecular diffusivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>κ</td>
<td>bulk flow reaction parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ</td>
<td>dirac delta function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>absorption parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pe</td>
<td>Péclet number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>Schmidt number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_p</td>
<td>Hermite polynomials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_p</td>
<td>plug core radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_p</td>
<td>p-th order central moment of the solute concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_0</td>
<td>exchange coefficient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>