Annulus Cold Film Flow Characteristics of Can Combustor with Liner Temperature Rising
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Abstract

This paper focuses on the studying behavior of velocity profile with the influence of different temperatures for the inner and outer annulus of can combustor. An experimental rig was designed to simulate the flow inside the annulus of can combustor. An analytical CFD tool was designed and validated with experimental data. The can combustor tested in this study is a real part collected from Hilla/Iraq gas turbine power station. The velocity profiles are investigated in six stations in the annulus region. The axial velocity and turbulence intensity are calculating with a different temperature for inner and outer annulus. From The results, the increase in temperature leads to undesirable reversible flow and large recirculation zone. It is found that high turbulent intensity leads to destroy the cooling film. The increasing temperature would increase the turbulence intensity causing a recirculation region enlargement. The results also show that the high temperature, velocity profile and the jet of air through holes. The Computational results were compared against the experimental results, and they show a very good agreement.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A can combustor is a main part of a gas turbine power unit which draws the air from a compressor and transmits it to the turbine with a high temperature. In general, the combustor has three main components: diffuser, casing, liner and inner and outer annulus as shown in Figure 1 [1]. The airflow in can combustor is separated to three parts. The first part of the airflow passes through the dome (front end of combustor). This part of the air is used to cool dome and to be mixed with fuel injected by the nozzles. The second and third parts of airflow passing through the inner and the outer annulus where the study focused on these parts [2].

Hasan and Puthukkudi [3] investigated the effusion film cooling method on an adiabatic flat plate. The CFD result showed that there is a relationship between film cooling effectiveness and velocity ratio. The study showed the velocity ratio effected on mass flow rate. A high mass flow rate works to increase effectiveness. This lead to create a large demand on cold air where that will effect on the efficiency of the gas turbine. Gomez Ramirez et al. [4] studied the flow field properties into the annular combustor gas turbine with radial swirls. The heat transfer coefficient increased with increasing Reynolds numbers. Kumar and Rose [5] studied the influence of changes in the coefficient of the heat transfer on the distribution temperature profile at the inner and outer liner surface. The height coefficient led to raise the heat transferred and rise wall temperatures. Liu and Zheng [6] studied the effusion cooling. The temperature of the wall and the effectiveness of film cooling for different angles were analyzed. The performance of the effusion cooling was better than the conventional film cooling.

The wall temperature was lower, the efficiency of the cooling was higher and the coolant was decreased by 20%. The performance of cooling for deflection angle 60° was best. Mohammed et al. [7] investigated the characteristics of the heat transfer in the can combustor of the gas turbine with Reynolds number 50,000 and 600,000 and a swirl number of 0.7. The size of recirculation zone near the liner wall stayed at same for all Reynolds numbers.

Figure 1. The components of combustor
The previous studies offered combustor cooling method, design combustor, pressure loss with range of operating conditions, the behavior of distributions heat transfer and flow filed with different angle swirl, the influence front flame on the flow inside of the combustor and air to fuel ratio on distribution temperature in the primary zone. This research will study the behaviors flow fields inside annulus region of can combustor with rising liner temperature from (298K-773K). The flow field in magnitude directions will analyze to explain the back flow with rising temperature. The relationship between velocity profile and wall temperature of the inner and outer annulus in the can combustor are investigated experimentally and numerically [8, 9].

2. EXPERIMENT SETUP

Before starting to measure the velocity at the annulus region, the rig was designed as the procedure below. Figure 2 was showed the rig that used at experimental work.

(1) The can combustor was connected to the wind tunnel. The air was transmitted from the wind tunnel to the front end of the combustor.

(2) The liner wall was heated by using four cylindrical heaters (3000W).

(3) The temperature of the liner wall was measured by using six thermocouples. These thermocouples were fixed on the outer liner wall.

(4) The time was used to warm up the heaters about 2.5 hours.

(5) After then, the multi pitot-tube moved horizontally through the annulus of can combustor and measured the velocity profile in the annulus region at six stations. For accuracy of results in the experimental work, calibration of the rig measuring devices was done by using calibration instruments. The multi pitot-tube was calibrated with L-shape pitot-static tube with airflow at environment temperature. The error in reading was about 5.5%. Also, thermocouple and Infrared thermometer were calibrated with a thermometer at hot surface and record reading after every two minutes. The error in reading thermocouple was about 2.3% and the Infrared thermometer was about 4.2%.

(a) The rig that used in experimental work

(b) Schematic diagram of rig design
3. NUMERICAL METHOD AND GOVERNING EQUATIONS

A commercial obtainable computational fluid dynamics (CFD) code “ANSYS-FLUENT 16.0” was used for the analysis. A combustion model was created from 3-D geometry using SolidWorks 2016 software. The CAD model has been imported to “ANSYS-FLUENT 16.0” to achieve the proper mesh by choosing the relevant center is fine, smoothing (high), transition (fast) and span angle center (fine). The boundary conditions have been set. Table 1 showed the dimension of this geometry. The type of mesh was Tet/hybrid and the number of mesh cells is 1028782 as shown in Figure 3. The boundary condition was defined in Table 2.

Table 1. Dimensions of can combustor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diameter/Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Casing diameter</td>
<td>410 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liner diameter</td>
<td>280 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casing length</td>
<td>1060 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liner length</td>
<td>1020 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dome holes</td>
<td>8.2 mm, 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary holes</td>
<td>19.5 mm, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary holes</td>
<td>19.5 mm, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution holes</td>
<td>40 mm, 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Boundary condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Temperature/Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inlet velocity</td>
<td>32 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet</td>
<td>Flow outlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>298 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual error</td>
<td>1x 10^-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>RNG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case one</td>
<td>298 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case two</td>
<td>473 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case three</td>
<td>523 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case four</td>
<td>573 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case five</td>
<td>673 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case six</td>
<td>773 K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Experimental work

Figure 3. Computational meshed model (Tet/hybrid)

3.1 The governing equations for RNG Model [10-16]

\[ k \text{-equation} \]
\[ \rho \frac{Dk}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ (\mu + \mu_t) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right] + \mu_t S^2 - \rho \varepsilon - \frac{\mu_t}{\rho \mu} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} - 2 \rho \varepsilon \frac{k}{\gamma R T} \]  
(1)

where, \( p \) is pressure, \( \rho \) is density and \( x_j \) is the \( u_j \)-direction velocity component.

\[ \varepsilon \text{-equation} \]
\[ \rho \frac{D\varepsilon}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ (\alpha_t \mu_{eff}) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right] - \frac{\varepsilon}{k} (C_{1\varepsilon} \mu_t S^2 - \rho \varepsilon C^*_{2\varepsilon}) \]  
(2)

Continuity equation:
\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u_j)}{\partial x_j} = 0 \]  
(3)

where, \( C_{1\varepsilon}=1.42, C_{2\varepsilon}=1.68, \mu_t=0.0845 \)
\[ C^*_{2\varepsilon} = C_{2\varepsilon} + \left( c_\mu \rho \eta^2 (1 - \eta_1/\eta_0) / (1 + \beta \eta^3) \right) \]  
(4)
\[ S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \] (5)

where, \( S_{ij} \) is the rate of tensor, and eddy viscosity \( \mu_t \) is defined as:

\[ \mu_t = \rho c_\mu \left( \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \right) \] (6)

Also, \( c_\mu \) is a constant, \( k \) is turbulence kinetic energy and \( \varepsilon \) denotes turbulence kinetic energy dissipation rate.

### 3.2 Air properties and boundary conditions

The combustion chamber is the test section where the aerodynamic behavior inside the combustor has been simulated. Before beginning with the simulation, the FLUENT must be provided with the former prediction for the solution flow field. Forewarn must be taken when supply the initial steps to allow the desired final solution to be attained.

The air is the fluid, which is used in this project, so the properties of air are taken at atmospheric pressure and temperature 298 K, as shown in Table 3.

**Table 3. Air properties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>1.1774 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_p )</td>
<td>1005.7 J/(kg·K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>0.02624 W/(m·K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>1.8462×10⁻⁵ kg/m·s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3 Grid dependency

Most computational domain needs increasing the number of grids to improve the numerical results. The achievement of the optimum number of grids as shown in Figure 4 has been depicting temperature variation along the radial distance of the combustor at the axial distance of 0.2 m from the inlet for different grid numbers. When the number of grids is increasing larger than 1238989 do not bring about a significant improvement, thus, 1028782 cells are considered to minimize the computation time and cost.

**Figure 4.** Temperature variation along the radial distance of the combustor for different cell numbers

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Model validation

CFD was used in this study to investigate the properties of airflow in the annulus region. It was necessary to validate the CFD program before it was used as a tool of study. The validation was done by comparing the CFD results with experimental results and tabulated in Table 4. The comparison depends on velocity at the inner annular as shown in Figure 5.
The velocity profiles at T=298 K have dropping velocities near the liner wall which affect negatively on penetration air through the liner holes. The maximum percentage error between the experimental and numerical (CFD) results was about ±6%. The dropping velocity increased at T=773 K. This phenomenon occurred due to the high heat transfer to annulus airflow. There was an acceptable agreement between the experimental and the numerical results about ±7%.

At the last station (X=0.89m) with T=298 K, the validation was agreement about error max ±4% that may be due to the easy control on multi pitot-tube. The comparison between experimental results and numerical results at T=773 K was increased by about 5.7%.

Table 4. Percentage error comparing the CFD results with experimental results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X (inner annulus)</th>
<th>Percentage error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.18 m</td>
<td>T=298 K: 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T=673 K: 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.89 m</td>
<td>T=298 K: 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T=673 K: 5.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 The behavior of velocity profile in the annulus region

Figure 6, shows the behavior of velocity profile in annulus region at T=773 K. When the temperature rises the dropping velocities will be increased. The rising temperatures led to increasing the heat transfer to air layers near the liner wall. This dropping increased when the temperature increased about (8%-33%), where the temperature increasing led to increase in viscous of air due to increase in the activity molecular. The slow layers entered the fast layers and tended to decelerated fast layers. The dropping of velocities has negatively affected on the penetration air through the holes.

4.3 Axial velocity in the inner annulus

The computational analysis results were showed that the reversible flow is directly proportional to temperature. It can be seen at Figure 7, the reversible profile shows more backflow when the temperature is around T=673 K than with T=423 K. The reversible flow for different temperatures may be due to the heat transferred from liner wall to the airflow in the annulus.

4.4 Axial velocity profile in the inner and outer annulus

The velocity profiles at station one (X=0.18m) have almost the same trend in both of the inner and outer annulus. This behavior may be due to the lower transferred heat to the airflow in the annulus at this station as shown in Figure 8(a). Figure 8(b) It can be seen that, the axial velocity is higher in the inner annulus at the third station (X=0.38m) than the outer annulus which may be due to the higher heat transfer and the effect of gravity which accelerates the flow in the inner annulus. Figure 8(c), shows unstable behavior in the velocity profile with the temperature increase in both inner and outer annulus. However, the inner velocity is still higher than the outer velocity due to the gravity effect. The oscillation of axial velocity profile at station six (X=0.89m) is due to the increase the heat transfers at this station.
Figure 7. Axial velocity in inner annulus for different temperature

(a) Cold flow, $X=18$ cm
- Inner annulus
- Outer annulus

(b) $T=423$ K, $X=18$ cm
- Inner annulus
- Outer annulus

(c) $T=673$ K, $X=18$ cm
- Inner annulus
- Outer annulus

(d) Cold flow, $X=38$ cm
- Inner annulus
- Outer annulus

(e) $T=423$ K, $X=38$ cm
- Inner annulus
- Outer annulus

(f) $T=673$ K, $X=38$ cm
- Inner annulus
- Outer annulus
Figure 8. Axial velocity at the inner and outer annulus (a) station one (X=0.18m); (b) station three (X=0.38m) and (c) station six (X=0.89m)

Figure 9. (a) Turbulent intensity contour at first station with T=298 K, 673 K and 773 K; (b) Turbulent intensity contour at fourth station with T=298 K, 673 K and 773 K
4.5 The turbulent intensity of annulus

The turbulent flow in the annulus has a high effect on the velocity profile. The turbulent intensity increased with temperature rise as shown in Figures 9(a) and (b), these figures show contours of turbulent intensity in the first station (X=0.18m) and the fourth station (X=0.51m). At the first station, the turbulent intensity near the liner wall changed from 128 at T=298 K to 197 at T=773 K. The different turbulent intensity distribution occurs due to the different temperatures in the annulus as well as an increase in average magnitude of turbulence is due to the interaction of multiple jets with the swirling cross-flow in the liner.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The analysis of annulus flow by axial velocity show the deep affected on pattern flow in annulus. The rising of temperature lead to destroy the velocity profile in annulus which led to undesirable reversible flow and large recirculation zone. The inner velocity is still higher than the outer velocity due to the gravity effect. A turbulent intensity affected on temperature and velocity profile. High turbulent intensity led to destroy cooling film, high temperature, and different velocity profile.
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NOMENCLATURE

\( \text{Cp} \) specific heat, J/ (kg K)  
\( g \) gravitational acceleration, m. s\(^{-2}\)  
\( k \) thermal conductivity, W/m.K  
\( \text{Nu} \) local Nusselt number along the heat source 
\( u \) velocity (m/s)  
\( A \) area(m\(^2\))  
\( D \) diameter, m  
\( P \) pressure, N/m\(^2\)  
\( R \) radius of combustor, m  
\( T \) temperature, K

Greek symbols

\( \epsilon \) turbulence kinetic energy dissipation m\(^2\)/s\(^3\)  
\( \delta \) kronecker delta
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>density kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>turbulence kinetic energy m²/s²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>thermal diffusivity, m²/s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>thermal expansion coefficient, K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>dynamic viscosity, kg·m⁻¹·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu )</td>
<td>kinematic viscosity m²/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I )</td>
<td>turbulent Intensity %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu_t )</td>
<td>turbulent viscosity kg/m·s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu_{eff} )</td>
<td>effective viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_{w2} )</td>
<td>turbulent Prandtl numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_t )</td>
<td>inverse prandtl number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \sigma_k \, \sigma_\varepsilon \, C_{\varepsilon 1} \, C_{\varepsilon 2} \, C_\mu \, \text{constant} \]

**Abbreviations**

- Boundary Conditions B.C
- Computational Fluid Dynamics CFD
- Finite Difference Method FDM
- Finite Element Method FEM
- Finite Volume Method FVM
- Reynolds Average Navier-Stokes RANS
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