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1. INTRODUCTION

In the event of an earthquake, the dynamic stress will concentrate around tunnels, as the seismic wave is scattered repeatedly by the ground and the tunnels. Then, the local stress of the tunnel will bump up, causing damages to tunnel structure. Significant loss of life and property will occur, if the earthquake damages infrastructure like metro tunnels, heating pipelines, drainage pipelines, and oil pipelines. Therefore, the dynamic seismic response of underground structures has attracted much attention from the academia [1, 2].

Wave function expansion has been widely adopted to solve the response to seismic waves, after it was coupled by Baron with integral transform to obtain the analytical solution to how cylindrical cavity scatters compressive pulses [3, 4]. Liu et al. [5, 6] introduced complex variable function to the scattering problem of two-dimensional (2D) elastic dynamics, and solved the scattering of SH-wave by a shallow half space structure, using wave function expansion, complex variable function, and moving coordinate system. Later, Davis et al. [7] and Liang et al. [8] studied the scattering of planar P-wave and SV-wave by half space caves and arc-shaped depressions. Qi, Yang and Qi [9] and Qi et al. [10] extended the complex variable function to half space, half space interface, as well as circular cavities and inclusions in half space bidirectional medium, and explored the scattering of SH wave by circular lining near semi-infinite half space.

Under the effects of multiple factors (e.g. construction techniques, soil settlement, and changing groundwater level), the interface between a structure and the surrounding soil might separate in local places, forming debonded areas. Debonding can be regarded as a kind of cracking that separates the contact surfaces. In the 1980s, Coussy [11] studied the debonding between cylindrical inclusions and substrate in one place. In the 1990s, Yang and Norris [12-13] investigated the situation of a single arc crack, and provided the near-field and far-field solutions at arbitrary wavelengths. In the 21st century, Fang et al. [14] and Coşkun and Dolmaseven [15] explored intensively into the scattering problem of lined tunnels, yielding fruitful results with engineering significance.

The above studies mostly simplify the ground into a whole elastic half space. However, there is little report on the scattering by lined tunnel in the overburden. In reality, the ground is not a homogenous whole, but a mixture of various rocks or soil layers. The composite soil layer complicates the boundary conditions of the scattering problem, making the problem difficult to solve. At the end of the 20th century, Cao and Lee [16, 17] and Lee and Karl [18] transformed the traditional straight boundary problem into a curved boundary problem by the big circle method, and gave the analytical solutions to the scattering of P- and SV-waves by a single circular cavity in half space. Since then, the big circle method has been extensively implemented to study elastic waves [19-21]. With the aid of the big circle method, this paper aims to further expand the scattering problem of half space lining, under the effect of composite soil layer.

By the big circle method, this paper converts the straight boundary between two soil layers into an arc boundary, and constructs the scattered wave field of each soil layer. Then, the scattering problem of the model of the overburden was divided into the circular cavity scattering in the overburden (Domain II) and lining scattering by the circular tunnel (Domain III). Displacement solutions were constructed in Domains II and III, respectively, and fitted on the common boundaries between
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The two domains. Under continuous boundary conditions, the scattered wave field of debonded structure was constructed through Fourier series expansion, creating the analytical solution to the scattering problem. After that, the terms to be intercepted were identified by checking residual stress, which guarantee the accuracy of the numerical calculation. Taking C30 concrete and Q345 steel as lining materials, the SH-wave was incident to two typical geological combinations. On this basis, the authors analyzed how the dynamic stress concentration factor (DSCF) of the outer wall of the lining is affected by incident frequency, soil layer parameters, lining material, and debonding position.

2. MODEL CONSTRUCTION AND SOLUTION

The 2D model of the overburden containing a single circular lined tunnel is illustrated in Figure 1, where Domain I is the lower soil layer, Domain II is the upper overburden, and Domain III is the circular lined tunnel (inner diameter \( a \); outer diameter \( b \)). For Domains I-III, the densities are \( \rho_1, \rho_2, \) and \( \rho_3 \), the shear moduli are \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \) and \( \mu_3 \), the shear wave velocities are \( c_1, c_2, \) and \( c_3 \), and the SH-wave velocities are \( k_1, k_2, \) and \( k_3 \), respectively.

Displacement waves were constructed in Domains II and III, respectively, and fitted on the common boundaries between the two domains: stress and displacement should be continuous on the outer boundary \( T_1 \) of the tunnel, and the stress should be free on the inner boundary \( T_2 \) of the tunnel.

The upper boundary, lower boundary, and thickness of the overburden are denoted as \( T_U, T_D, \) and \( h \), respectively. The distances from \( T_U \) and \( T_D \) to the center of the circular tunnel are denoted as \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \), respectively. By the big circle method, \( T_U \) and \( T_D \) were approximated by concentric arcs with extremely large radii. Then, \( T_U \) and \( T_D \) were converted into \( T_D \) and \( T_D \), respectively.

Then, a rectangular coordinate system \( X_O Y_1 \) was established with the center of the big arc as the origin \( O_1 \); another rectangular coordinate system \( X_O Y_2 \) was established with the center of the lined tunnel as the origin \( O_2 \) and the straight line parallel to \( T_1 \) as axis \( X_2 \), such that axes \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \) are collinear.

The polar angle of any point in space can be expressed as \( \theta \) under \( X_O Y_1 \), while that of any point on the tunnel boundary can be expressed as \( \theta \) under \( X_O Y_2 \). If the outer wall of the lining is debonded, the starting and ending angles of the debonded structure are denoted as \( \theta_a \) and \( \theta_b \), respectively (\( \theta_a > \theta_b \)). The debonded boundary and non-debonded boundary of the outer wall can be described as \( T_D \) and \( T_1 \), respectively.

Suppose a steady-state SH-wave is incident from Domain I at the angle of \( \omega_0 \). Then, the complex planes \( (\zeta_1, \zeta_1) \) and \( (\zeta_2, \zeta_2) \) that correspond to \( X_O Y_1 \) and \( X_O Y_2 \) can be established. On this basis, the relationships between the geometric variables can be depicted as:

\[
h = h_1 + h_2, \quad R_c = h + R, \quad \zeta_2 = \zeta_1 - i(R + h) \quad (1)
\]

On plane \( (\zeta_1, \zeta_1) \), the displacement field and corresponding stresses of the incident wave \( W(0) \) in Domain I can be respectively expressed as [6]:

\[
W^{(i)}(\zeta_1, \zeta_1) = W_0 \exp\left[ik_1 \text{Re}\left(\zeta_1 e^{-i\omega_0 t}\right)\right] \quad (2)
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{ij}^{(i)}(\zeta_1, \zeta_1) = \frac{i k_1 \mu W_0 \exp\left[ik_1 \text{Re}\left(\zeta_1 e^{-i\omega_0 t}\right)\right]\text{Re}\left[\left(\zeta_1 e^{-i\omega_0 t}\right)\right]}{2(\zeta_1, \zeta_1)} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\tau_{ij}^{(i)}(\zeta_1, \zeta_1) = -\frac{i k_1 \mu W_0 \exp\left[ik_1 \text{Re}\left(\zeta_1 e^{-i\omega_0 t}\right)\right]\text{Im}\left[\left(\zeta_1 e^{-i\omega_0 t}\right)\right]}{2(\zeta_1, \zeta_1)} \quad (4)
\]

On plane \( (\zeta_2, \zeta_2) \), the displacement field and corresponding stresses of the scattered wave \( W^{(s)} \) produced by \( T_D \) in Domain I can be respectively expressed as [6]:

\[
W^{(s)}(\zeta_2, \zeta_2) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_m H_s^{(2)}(k_m|\zeta_1|)(\zeta_2/|\zeta_1|)^m \quad (5)
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{ij}^{(s)}(\zeta_2, \zeta_2) = \frac{k_m \mu}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_m \left[H_s^{(2)}(k_m|\zeta_1|) - H_s^{(2)}(k_m|\zeta_2|)(\zeta_2/|\zeta_1|)^m\right] \quad (6)
\]
On plane \((z_1, \bar{z}_1)\), the displacement field and corresponding stresses of the scattered wave \(W^{(s2)}\) produced by \(T_D\) in Domain II can be respectively expressed as \([7]\):

\[
W^{(s2)}_{(z, \bar{z})} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} B_n H_n^{(1)}(k_n |z|) \left[ \frac{z_i + i(R_D + h_2)}{z_i + i(R_D + h_2)} \right]^{n} \tag{8}
\]

\[
t^{(s2)}_{\phi \rho (z, \bar{z})} = \frac{-ik_n \mu_n}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} B_n \left[ H_n^{(1)}(k_n |z|) - H_n^{(1)}(k_n |\bar{z}|) \right] \left( z_i / \bar{z}_i \right) \tag{9}
\]

\[
t^{(s2)}_{\phi \rho (z, \bar{z})} = \frac{ik_n \mu_n}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} B_n \left[ H_n^{(1)}(k_n |z|) + H_n^{(1)}(k_n |\bar{z}|) \right] \left( z_i / \bar{z}_i \right) \tag{10}
\]

On plane \((z_2, \bar{z}_2)\), the displacement field and corresponding stresses of the scattered wave \(W^{(s2)}\) can be respectively expressed as:

\[
W^{(s2)}_{(z, \bar{z})} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} B_n H_n^{(1)}(k_n |z|) \left[ \frac{z_i + i(R_D + h_2)}{z_i + i(R_D + h_2)} \right]^{n} \tag{11}
\]

\[
t^{(s2)}_{\phi \rho (z, \bar{z})} = \frac{-ik_n \mu_n}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} B_n \left( H_n^{(1)}(k_n |z|) - H_n^{(1)}(k_n |\bar{z}|) \right) \left( z_i / \bar{z}_i \right) \tag{12}
\]

\[
t^{(s2)}_{\phi \rho (z, \bar{z})} = \frac{ik_n \mu_n}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} B_n \left( H_n^{(1)}(k_n |z|) + H_n^{(1)}(k_n |\bar{z}|) \right) \left( z_i / \bar{z}_i \right) \tag{13}
\]

On plane \((z_2, \bar{z}_2)\), the displacement field and corresponding stresses of the scattered wave \(W^{(s3)}\) produced by \(T_1\) in Domain II can be respectively expressed as:

\[
W^{(s3)}_{(z, \bar{z})} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n H_n^{(1)}(k_n |z|) \left[ \frac{z_i + i(R_D + h_2)}{z_i + i(R_D + h_2)} \right]^{n} \tag{14}
\]

\[
t^{(s3)}_{\phi \rho (z, \bar{z})} = \frac{-ik_n \mu_n}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n \left[ H_n^{(1)}(k_n |z|) - H_n^{(1)}(k_n |\bar{z}|) \right] \left( z_i / \bar{z}_i \right) \tag{15}
\]

\[
t^{(s3)}_{\phi \rho (z, \bar{z})} = \frac{ik_n \mu_n}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n \left[ H_n^{(1)}(k_n |z|) + H_n^{(1)}(k_n |\bar{z}|) \right] \left( z_i / \bar{z}_i \right) \tag{16}
\]

On plane \((z_1, \bar{z}_1)\), the displacement field and corresponding stresses of the scattered wave \(W^{(s3)}\) can be respectively expressed as:

\[
W^{(s3)}_{(z, \bar{z})} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n H_n^{(1)}(k_n |z|) \left[ \frac{z_i + i(R_D + h_2)}{z_i + i(R_D + h_2)} \right]^{n} \tag{17}
\]

\[
t^{(s3)}_{\phi \rho (z, \bar{z})} = \frac{-ik_n \mu_n}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n \left[ H_n^{(1)}(k_n |z|) - H_n^{(1)}(k_n |\bar{z}|) \right] \left( z_i / \bar{z}_i \right) \tag{18}
\]

\[
t^{(s3)}_{\phi \rho (z, \bar{z})} = \frac{ik_n \mu_n}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n \left[ H_n^{(1)}(k_n |z|) + H_n^{(1)}(k_n |\bar{z}|) \right] \left( z_i / \bar{z}_i \right) \tag{19}
\]

On plane \((z_2, \bar{z}_2)\), the displacement field and corresponding stresses of the scattered wave \(W^{(s4)}\) produced by \(T_1\) in Domain II can be respectively expressed as:

\[
W^{(s4)}_{(z, \bar{z})} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} D_n H_n^{(2)}(k_n |z|) \left[ \frac{z_i + i(R_D + h_2)}{z_i + i(R_D + h_2)} \right]^{n} \tag{20}
\]

\[
t^{(s4)}_{\phi \rho (z, \bar{z})} = \frac{-ik_n \mu_n}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} D_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n |z|) - H_n^{(2)}(k_n |\bar{z}|) \right] \left( z_i / \bar{z}_i \right) \tag{21}
\]

\[
t^{(s4)}_{\phi \rho (z, \bar{z})} = \frac{ik_n \mu_n}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} D_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n |z|) + H_n^{(2)}(k_n |\bar{z}|) \right] \left( z_i / \bar{z}_i \right) \tag{22}
\]

On plane \((z_2, \bar{z}_2)\), the displacement field and corresponding stresses of the scattered wave \(W^{(s4)}\) can be respectively expressed as:

\[
W^{(s4)}_{(z, \bar{z})} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} D_n H_n^{(2)}(k_n |z|) \left[ \frac{z_i + i(R_D + h_2)}{z_i + i(R_D + h_2)} \right]^{n} \tag{23}
\]
On complex plane \((z_2, \bar{z}_2)\), the scattered waves excited by the SH-wave on the outer boundary \(T_1\) of the circular lined tunnel can be expressed as:

\[
W^{(ST1)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} E_n H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n
\]

(26)

\[
W^{(ST1)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \frac{k_1 \mu_2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} E_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) - H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \right] \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n
\]

(27)

\[
W^{(ST1)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \frac{ik_1 \mu_2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} E_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) + H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \right] \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n
\]

(28)

On complex plane \((z_2, \bar{z}_2)\), the scattered waves excited by the SH-wave on the inner boundary \(T_2\) of the circular lined tunnel can be expressed as:

\[
W^{(ST2)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} F_n H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n
\]

(29)

\[
W^{(ST2)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \frac{k_1 \mu_2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} F_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) - H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \right] \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n
\]

(30)

\[
W^{(ST2)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \frac{ik_1 \mu_2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} F_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) + H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \right] \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n
\]

(31)

On complex plane \((z_2, \bar{z}_2)\), the standing wave generated by the SH-wave in Domain III (the circular lined tunnel in the overburden) is 

\[
W^{(ST)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = W^{(ST1)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} + W^{(ST2)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)}
\]

The scattered wave in the lined tunnel excited by the outer boundary \(T_1\) and the corresponding stresses can be respectively expressed as:

\[
W^{(ST1)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \frac{k_1 \mu_2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} E_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) - H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \right] \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n
\]

(32)

\[
W^{(ST1)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \frac{ik_1 \mu_2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} E_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) + H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \right] \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n
\]

(33)

\[
W^{(ST1)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \frac{k_1 \mu_2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} F_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) - H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \right] \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n
\]

(34)

The scattered wave in the lined tunnel excited by the inner boundary \(T_2\) and the corresponding stresses can be respectively expressed as:

\[
W^{(ST2)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} F_n H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n
\]

(35)

\[
W^{(ST2)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \frac{k_1 \mu_2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} F_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) - H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \right] \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n
\]

(36)

\[
W^{(ST2)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \frac{ik_1 \mu_2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} F_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) + H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \right] \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n
\]

(37)

For the debonded structure, the axial stress should be free on boundary \(T_2\), and the displacement and axial stress should be continuous on boundary \(T_1\). In other words, the scattered wave in the lined tunnel excited by the outer boundary \(T_1\) must satisfy the following boundary conditions:

\[
W^{(ST1)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \begin{cases} 0, & z_2 \in \bar{T}_1 \\ \frac{k_1 \mu_2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} E_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) - H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \right] \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n, & z_2 \in \bar{T}_1 \end{cases}
\]

(38)

The scattered wave in the lined tunnel excited by the inner boundary \(T_2\) must satisfy the following boundary conditions:

\[
W^{(ST2)}_{(z_2, \bar{z}_2)} = \begin{cases} 0, & z_2 \in \bar{T}_1 \\ \frac{k_1 \mu_2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} F_n \left[ H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) - H_n^{(2)}(k_n | z_2|) \right] \left( z_2 / |z_2| \right)^n, & z_2 \in \bar{T}_1 \end{cases}
\]

(39)

where, \(E_n\) and \(F_n\) are undetermined coefficients. Expanding (38) and (39) on \([-\pi, +\pi]\) into Fourier series:

324
\[ t_{(ST)}^{(z_{2}, z_{1}, \tau)} = \frac{k_{m} \mu_{1}}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{m} \left[ H_{m}^{(2)}(k, z_{2}) - H_{m+1}^{(2)}(k, z_{1}) \right] \left[ z_{2} \right] \]  
(40)

where,

\[ a_{m} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{k-2\pi}^{k+2\pi} e^{i\theta_{m} - i\theta_{n}} d\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi}{e^{i\theta_{m} - i\theta_{n}}} & (l = n) \\ \frac{2\pi}{e^{i\theta_{m} - i\theta_{n}}} & (l \neq n) \end{cases} \]  
(42)

On complex plane \((z_{2}, z_{2})\), \(\theta_{l}\) and \(\theta_{l}\) are the starting and ending angles of the debonded structure (\(\theta_{l} = \theta_{l} \)).

If \([Z_{2}] = b\), comparing (40) with (33):

\[ G_{n} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} H_{m}^{(1)}(k, b) - H_{m+1}^{(1)}(k, b) \]  
(43)

If \([Z_{2}] = b\), comparing (41) with (36):

\[ L_{n} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} H_{m}^{(1)}(k, b) - H_{m+1}^{(1)}(k, b) \]  
(44)

Substituting (43) into (32):

\[ W_{n}^{(ST)}(z_{2}, z_{1}) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} E_{n} \left[ H_{m}^{(1)}(k, b) - H_{m+1}^{(1)}(k, b) \right] \left[ z_{1} \right] \]  
(45)

The corresponding stresses can be respectively expressed as:

\[ t_{(ST)}^{(z_{2}, z_{1}, \tau)} = \frac{k_{m} \mu_{1}}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{m} \left[ H_{m}^{(2)}(k, z_{2}) - H_{m+1}^{(2)}(k, z_{1}) \right] \left[ z_{2} \right] \]  
(46)

\[ t_{(ST)}^{(z_{2}, z_{1}, \tau)} = \frac{\mu_{1}}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ E_{n} \left[ H_{m}^{(1)}(k, b) - H_{m+1}^{(1)}(k, b) \right] \left[ z_{1} \right] \right] \]  
(47)

The corresponding stresses can be respectively expressed as:

\[ W_{n}^{(ST)}(z_{2}, z_{1}) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_{n} \left[ H_{m}^{(1)}(k, b) - H_{m+1}^{(1)}(k, b) \right] \]  
(48)

\[ W_{n}^{(ST)}(z_{2}, z_{1}) = W_{n}^{(ST1)} + W_{n}^{(ST2)} \]  
(49)

\[ W_{n}^{(ST1)} + W_{n}^{(ST2)} \]  
(50)

The corresponding stresses can be respectively expressed as:

\[ t_{(ST)}^{(z_{2}, z_{1}, \tau)} = \frac{k_{m} \mu_{1}}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{m} \left[ H_{m}^{(2)}(k, z_{2}) - H_{m+1}^{(2)}(k, z_{1}) \right] \left[ z_{2} \right] \]  
(46)

\[ t_{(ST)}^{(z_{2}, z_{1}, \tau)} = \frac{\mu_{1}}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ E_{n} \left[ H_{m}^{(1)}(k, b) - H_{m+1}^{(1)}(k, b) \right] \left[ z_{1} \right] \right] \]  
(47)

Substituting (44) into (35):

\[ t_{(ST)}^{(z_{2}, z_{1}, \tau)} = \frac{k_{m} \mu_{1}}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{m} \left[ H_{m}^{(2)}(k, z_{2}) - H_{m+1}^{(2)}(k, z_{1}) \right] \left[ z_{2} \right] \]  
(46)

\[ t_{(ST)}^{(z_{2}, z_{1}, \tau)} = \frac{\mu_{1}}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ E_{n} \left[ H_{m}^{(1)}(k, b) - H_{m+1}^{(1)}(k, b) \right] \left[ z_{1} \right] \right] \]  
(47)

Substituting (44) into (35):
3. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

The numerical example focuses on the dynamic stress concentration on the outer wall of a circular tunnel with partially debonded concrete or steel lining in overburden under the normally incident SH-wave. Suppose the incident angle of SH-wave is $\omega=90^\circ$; the inner diameter, outer diameter, and buried depth of the circular lined tunnel are $a=1$, $b=1.3$, and $h_1=1.5a$, respectively; the density of Domain I is $\rho_1=1$; the shear wave velocity is $c_1=1$. Then, the shear modulus can be derived as $\mu_1=1$. For simplicity, all the results in the example are dimensionless.

The following parameter definitions were defined: $c^+=c_2/c_1$, $c^-=c_3/c_1$, $\rho^+ = \rho_2/\rho_1$, $\rho^- = \rho_3/\rho_1$, $k^+ = k_2/k_1$, and $k^- = k_3/k_1$.

In Condition A, if the lining is C30 concrete, then $\rho^+ = 0.77$, and $k^+$ is 1.6. In this case, if the lining is C30 concrete, then $\rho^+ = 0.77$, and $k^+$ is 1.6. If $k^+ > 1$, then Domain I is harder than Domain II, that is, the SH-wave is incident from the hard half space, while the lined tunnel lies in the soft overburden.

Figure 2 provides the DSCFs around the circular cavity for Problem 1. In this case, if the lining is C30 concrete, then $\rho^+ = 0.77$, and $k^+$ is 1.6. If $k^+ > 1$, then Domain I is harder than Domain II, that is, the SH-wave is incident from the hard half space, while the lined tunnel lies in the soft overburden.

In Condition B, if the lining is Q345 steel, then $\rho^+ = 0.45$, and $k^+$ is 0.3. If $k^+ < 1$, then the problem degenerates into the scattering of normally incident SH-wave from a softer medium to a harder medium. The undetermined constants $A_n$, $B_n$, $C_n$, $D_n$, $E_n$, and $F_n$ were solved by the intercepted equation set that strictly meet the boundary conditions. The solved coefficients were substituted into the equations to test the convergence of the numerical results based on the continuity of boundaries. Dimensionless residual stresses were introduced to measure the accuracy of series solutions:

Residual stress on the outer boundary of the lined tunnel:

$$\tau^b = \left[ \frac{(ST_1) - (ST_2)}{(ik_1\rho_1W_0)} \right]_{|z_2|=b}$$

Residual stress on the inner boundary of the lined tunnel:

$$\tau^i = \left[ \frac{(ST_1) - (ST_2)}{(ik_1\rho_1W_0)} \right]_{|z_2|=a}$$

Figures 4 and 5 respectively display the radial residual stresses on the inner and outer boundaries of the lined tunnel in Problem 2. It can be seen that the radial residual stresses belonged to the orders of $10^{-7} - 10^{-5}$, which are accurate enough to solve this problem.

The above verification shows that the large circle method, plus the rational interception of equation terms, can effectively solve the SH-wave scattering in half space, laying a solid basis for solving our problems.

Figures 6 and 7 respectively display the variation of $DSCF_{a_{\max}}$ with $ka$ on the outer wall of the partially debonded C30 concrete lining or Q345 steel lined tunnel in the sandstone layer under Condition A. In this case, the SH-wave is incident from a harder medium to a softer medium.
The key of analyzing this case is to find the frequency band of concrete or steel lining that is most sensitive to the dynamic action of incident wave under Condition A. The findings will provide a theoretical basis for damping the effect of dynamic stress concentration in engineering design. Besides, the critical frequencies could be determined based on the two figures, providing a reference for subsequent discussion.

In both figures, the $DSCF\sigma_{\theta_{\text{max}}}^*$ of the lining increased gradually with the rise of $k_1\alpha$, and reached the peak at $k_1\alpha=0.3$–0.4, before entering a fluctuating decline. Therefore, the lining damage induced by low-frequency wave is noteworthy, when the SH-wave is incident from a harder medium to a softer medium.

The $DSCF\sigma_{\theta_{\text{max}}}^*$ in Figure 6 appeared at $k_1\alpha=0.32$, greater than the $DSCF\sigma_{\theta_{\text{max}}}^*$ in Figure 7, which was observed at $k_1\alpha=0.35$. This means a high lining rigidity helps to reduce dynamic stress concentration. The $k_1\alpha=0.1, 0.32, 1.25$ in Figure 6 and $k_1\alpha=0.1, 0.32, 1.25$ in Figure 7 were taken as the critical frequencies to analyze the DSCF on the outer wall of the lined tunnel.
Figure 8. The variation of $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z max}}$ with $k_1a$ on the outer wall of the partially debonded C30 concrete lining under Condition B.

Figure 9. The variation of $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z max}}$ with $k_1a$ on the outer wall of the partially debonded Q345 steel lining under Condition B.

Figures 8 and 9 respectively display the variation of $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z max}}$ with $k_1a$ on the outer wall of the partially debonded C30 concrete lining or Q345 steel lined tunnel in the sandstone layer under Condition B. In this case, the SH-wave is incident from a softer medium to a harder medium.

Compared with Figures 6 and 7, the $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z max}}$ values in Figures 8 and 9 were very small, for the overburden has a greater shear modulus than the lower soil layer. In both Figures 8 and 9, the $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z max}}$ decreased slightly after reaching the peak at $k_1a=0.25$, but tended to grow after the frequency increased.

From Figures 6-9, the difference from the half space problem was summed up as: the rigidity of the overburden has a significant effect on the frequency band that corresponds to the strongest dynamic stress response of the lining. The hard overburden could shield the SH-wave. Then, $k_1a=0.1$, 0.25, 1.25 were taken from Figures 8 and 9 as the critical frequencies to analyze the DSCF on the outer wall of the lined tunnel.

Figure 10. $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z}}$ on the outer wall of the partially debonded C30 concrete lining in the sandstone layer under Condition A.

Figure 10 provides the DSCFs on the outer wall of the partially debonded C30 concrete lining in the sandstone layer under Condition A, when the SH-wave is normally incident from the basalt layer to the sandstone layer.

It can be seen that, under a low incident frequency $k_1a=0.1$, the $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z max}}$ on the outer wall of the lining appeared at about 200° and 340°. With the growth in incident frequency, the $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z}}$ increased significantly. Under a high incident frequency $k_1a=2.0$, the $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z}}$ became smaller and more complex in distribution shape. In this case, the C30 concrete lining is more sensitive to the dynamic action of low-frequency incident wave, that is, the structure resonates with the site at the low frequency stage.

The debonded structure brought major changes to the distribution of DSCFs in the 0°-180° area of the lining. Debonding pushed up the $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z}}$ of the outer wall of the lining in varying degrees. At $k_1a=0.1$, the $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z}}$ suddenly changed on the outer wall of the lining debonded within 0°-180°. At $k_1a=1.25$, the $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z}}$ on the outer wall of the lining increased significantly due to debonding. To sum up, debonding has varied effects on the distribution shape and value of the $DSCF_{\sigma_{\theta z}}$ on the outer wall of the lining.
Figure 11. DSCF $\sigma_{\theta z}^*$ on the outer wall of the partially debonded Q345 steel lining in the sandstone layer under Condition A

Figure 11 provides the DSCFs on the outer wall of the partially debonded Q345 steel lining in the sandstone layer under Condition A, when the SH-wave is normally incident from the basalt layer to the sandstone layer. Because steel has much larger density and shear modulus than concrete, the tunnel in this case has a hard and rigid lining.

Compared with Figure 10, the $DSCF \sigma_{\theta z}^*$ values in Figure 11 were generally small. A possible reason is that the relatively hard steel absorbs fewer energy than concrete, under dynamic actions.

Moreover, debonding exerted a greater impact on the $DSCF \sigma_{\theta z}^*$ on the outer wall of Q345 steel lining than that on the outer wall of C30 concrete lining: the $DSCF \sigma_{\theta z}^*$ on the outer wall of the steel lining was much greater than that on the outer wall of concrete lining, owing to the debonding of the lining. As shown in Figure 11, at $k_1a=0.1$, the $DSCF \sigma_{\theta z}^*$ surged up in the debonded area within 0°~180°; at $k_1a=1.25$, the $DSCF \sigma_{\theta z}^*$ suddenly changed on the outer wall of the debonded lining, and the dynamic stress concentration intensified with the scope of debonding.

Figure 12. DSCF $\sigma_{\theta z}^*$ on the outer wall of the partially debonded C30 concrete lining in the sandstone layer under Condition B

Figure 12 provides the DSCFs on the outer wall of the partially debonded C30 concrete lining in the sandstone layer under Condition B, when the SH-wave is normally incident from the coal seam to the sandstone layer. In this case, the SH-wave is incident from a softer medium to a harder medium.

Compared with Figure 10, the $DSCF \sigma_{\theta z}^*$ values in Figure 13 were extremely small. As the shear modulus of overburden soil grew, the DSCFs around the lining in the overburden decreased across the board. This is probably the result of the fact that the hard overburden can reflect and shield the energy of the SH-wave transmitted from the lower soft soil layer, which in turn mitigates the stress concentration around the lining.

At $k_1a=0.1$, the $DSCF \sigma_{\theta z}^*$ rose sharply in the debonded area within 0°~180°. However, debonding no longer had a significant effect on DSCF, with the increase of the incident frequency.

Figure 13 provides the DSCFs on the outer wall of the Q345 steel lining in the sandstone layer under Condition B.
partially debonded Q345 steel lining in the sandstone layer under Condition B, when the SH-wave is normally incident from the coal seam to the sandstone layer.

Similar to Figure 11, the hard overburden in Figure 13 greatly suppressed the \( \text{DSCF} \sigma_{\theta z} \) on the outer wall of the lining. Compared with Figure 12, the \( \text{DSCF} \sigma_{\theta z} \) on the outer wall of the lining was further reduced by the growing shear modulus of the lining.

In this case, debonding exerted an even greater impact on \( \text{DSCF} \sigma_{\theta z} \). In particular, when the incident frequency was \( k_{\omega}a=0.25 \) or \( k_{\omega}a=1.45 \), the intensity of dynamic stress concentration increased with the scope of debonding. The debonding significantly promoted the \( \text{DSCF} \sigma_{\theta z} \) on the outer wall of the lining, whether the debonding occurred within \( 0^\circ-90^\circ \) or \( 0^\circ-180^\circ \). Therefore, the effect of SH-wave on dynamic stress concentration must be highlighted, when the steel lining debonded under condition B.

4. CONCLUSIONS

1. The lining in soft overburden is highly sensitive to the dynamic action of low- and medium-frequency incident waves, i.e. the \( \text{DSCF} \sigma_{\theta z_{\text{max}}} \) on the outer wall of the lining is significantly affected by low- and medium incident frequencies. The lining in hard overburden is highly sensitive to the dynamic action of high-frequency incident wave, i.e. the \( \text{DSCF} \sigma_{\theta z_{\text{max}}} \) on the outer wall of the lining is significantly affected by high-frequency incident wave.

2. The DSCF on the outer wall of the lining is under the joint effects of incident frequency, soil layer parameters, lining material parameters, and debonding scope. In engineering, the structure of the lined tunnel in overburn must be designed in the light of all these factors and the local geology.

3. \( \text{DSCF} \sigma_{\theta z_{\text{max}}} \) was obviously amplified by the soft overburden, but reflected and shielded by the hard overburden. Let SMD be the shear modulus difference between the lining and surrounding soil. The smaller the SMD, the easier it is for the lining to absorb energy, and the higher the \( \text{DSCF} \sigma_{\theta z_{\text{max}}} \), the higher the SMD, the weaker the dynamic stress concentration.

4. For the lining in soft overburden, debonding makes the lining more sensitive to the dynamic action of the low-frequency incident wave, that is, the \( \text{DSCF} \sigma_{\theta z_{\text{max}}} \) on the outer wall of the lining is affected greater at low incident frequency. For the lining in hard overburden, debonding makes the lining more sensitive to the dynamic action of the high- frequency incident wave, that is, the \( \text{DSCF} \sigma_{\theta z_{\text{max}}} \) on the outer wall of the lining is affected greater at high incident frequency. Rigid lining is affected by debonding more significantly than flexible lining.
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