Enhanced Catalytic Activity for the Ethanol Oxidation Reaction (EOR) using Novel Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT Bimetallic Electrocatalyst
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Abstract: In this work, 20% Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT (Pt:Fe$_3$O$_4$ weight ratio of 80:20) and 20% Pt/MWCNT nanoparticles were synthesized and characterized as anode electrocatalysts in H$_2$SO$_4$ media. First, the electrocatalyst were submitted to accelerated catalyst degradation test (ACDT) by performing 500 cycles between 0.6 and 1.2 V (vs. SHE). Then, their performance for the EOR was evaluated. The magnetite-containing nanoparticles demonstrated to be highly electrochemically stable, with negligible surface area losses (less than 7%) in the hydrogen adsorption/desorption region. Moreover, Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT showed a significantly enhanced catalytic activity for the EOR when compared to Pt/MWCNT, with almost 46% increase in current density when using Fe$_3$O$_4$ as co-catalysts.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Direct Alcohol Fuel Cells (DAFCs) are having a growing attention of research groups worldwide because of their energetic advantages over other power conversion devices. Pt is the most widely used catalyst for fuel cell applications [1]. However, due to the formation of reaction intermediates, such as CO, acetaldehyde and acetic acid, the efficient electrooxidation of ethanol in DAFCs requires the use of metallic alloys or composite nanocatalysts at which the bifunctional mechanism takes place. Under these conditions, the kinetic of the EOR is faster and occurs at lower potentials when compared to Pt-alone materials.

It has been demonstrated that nanosized Pt-Sn/C is one of the most active alloys for the EOR [2-3]. Also, some Pt-metal oxides have shown an enhanced performance for the EOR. For example, Pt-CeO$_2$/C can promote the oxidation of ethanol and other organic molecules at more anodic potentials related to Pt-alone anodes [4]. Also, it has been shown that the use of a Pt-Ni-TiO$_2$NT/C anode can oxidize ethanol at lower potentials than Pt/C and Pt-Ni/C due to the interaction of the alloy with the TiO$_2$ nanotubes [5].

Recently, some authors have reported the use of iron oxides as relatively cheap and active co-catalyst. Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ have been studied in composite materials along with noble metals, for the oxidation of organic molecules and the Oxygen Reduction Reaction (ORR) [6-9]. The results are promissory, indicating that iron oxides in combination with Pt can promote the activation of the DAFCs anode and cathode reactions at lower overpotentials than Pt-alone in acid media [6-9]. For example, the work by Sun et al. shows that dumbbell-like Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles have a high catalytic activity for the ORR [6]. Moreover, the electrochemical characterization of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Pt core-shell nanostructures has revealed that their catalytic activity for the EOR is higher than that of Pt-alone nanoparticles [8]. Along with magnetite, the use of Fe$_3$O$_4$ as co-catalyst with Pt has demonstrated to be advantageous for the...
oxidation of methanol \[10\]. Meanwhile, the most commonly used supports for nanoparticles are carbon black and activated carbons, with Vulcan XC-72 being the most representative. These carbonous materials have been investigated in long term performance tests, and it has been found that they are prone to be oxidized in a fuel cell environment. Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes (MWCNTs) have been considered as an attractive fuel cell catalyst support due to their feasibility to deposit very well dispersed metal nanoparticles \[11-13\]. Also, because of their interesting properties such as nanometric size, highly accessible surface area, excellent corrosion resistance, good electrical conductivity, long term stability, and a positive interaction with the active phase \[14, 15\].

In this work, the synthesis of Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$ supported on Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes (Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT) is presented. The electrochemical stability of this anode is tested by ACDT, and its catalytic activity towards the EOR is evaluated and compared to that of a Pt/MWCNT anode. The materials characterization by XRD and HR-STEM is also discussed.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Synthesis of MWCNTs

The MWCNTs used as support were synthesized by a modified chemical vapor deposition method (M-CVD) using Vycor tubing as substrate. Ferrocene (Fe(C$_5$H$_5$)$_2$, Aldrich) and toluene (C$_6$H$_5$CH$_3$, J. T. Baker) 0.255 mM solutions were pre-heated prior to injection into a tubular furnace. Argon gas was used to carry the precursor mixture into the Vycor tubing placed inside the furnace at 1173 K. The MWCNTs were treated with nitric acid in a reflux system in order to remove the remaining iron and amorphous carbon. Finally, the MWCNTs were washed several times with triple distilled water \[11\].

MWCNTs were dispersed in distilled water for 2 h using mechanical stirring with an Ultra-Turrax© T18 apparatus (UT), at 300 rpm. Then, the temperature of the reaction was set at 5 °C and kept in this value during the process. Separately, ethanol solutions of H$_2$PtCl$_6$$\cdot$6H$_2$O and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$$\cdot$9H$_2$O (both from Aldrich) were prepared. In a typical synthesis, the required amount of NaBH$_4$ (Aldrich) needed to reduce the iron oxide precursor was added to the solution containing MWCNTs, followed by the addition of the ethanolic magnetite solution. The suspension was stirred by UT for 2h. Afterwards, the solution was adjusted by adding the amount of NaBH$_4$ needed to reduce the chloroplatinic acid, which was subsequently dispersed drop by drop into the mixture. The obtained solution was stirred again for 2h by UT. Then, the recovered powders were washed three times in distilled water by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 10 min. The Pt:Fe$_3$O$_4$ weight ratio was 80:20.

Similarly, 20% Pt/MWCNT nanoparticles were obtained using the previous methodology, only eliminating the addition of the magnetite precursor.

2.2. Synthesis of 20% Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT

MWCNTs were dispersed in distilled water for 2 h using mechanical stirring with an Ultra-Turrax© T18 apparatus (UT), at 300 rpm. Then, the temperature of the reaction was set at 5 °C and kept in this value during the process. Separately, ethanol solutions of H$_2$PtCl$_6$$\cdot$6H$_2$O and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$$\cdot$9H$_2$O (both from Aldrich) were prepared. In a typical synthesis, the required amount of NaBH$_4$ (Aldrich) needed to reduce the iron oxide precursor was added to the solution containing MWCNTs, followed by the addition of the ethanolic magnetite solution. The suspension was stirred by UT for 2h. Afterwards, the solution was adjusted by adding the amount of NaBH$_4$ needed to reduce the chloroplatinic acid, which was subsequently dispersed drop by drop into the mixture. The obtained solution was stirred again for 2h by UT. Then, the recovered powders were washed three times in distilled water by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 10 min. The Pt:Fe$_3$O$_4$ weight ratio was 80:20.

Similarly, 20% Pt/MWCNT nanoparticles were obtained using the previous methodology, only eliminating the addition of the magnetite precursor.

2.3. Physicochemical characterization

The obtained catalysts were characterized by recording XRD in a Philips X-pert diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation operating at 40 kV using a Cu Ka radiation source ($\lambda_{\text{Cu Kα}}=1.54060$ Å). Diffractograms were acquired over 10-80 degrees with 0.025 steps. The XRD patterns were identified with the JCPDS data base. The chemical composition was evaluated by EDS in a Philips XL30 SEM apparatus using an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. Their morphology was analyzed by HAADF-STEM in a JEOL JEM-ARM200F microscope operating at 200 kV.

2.4. Electrochemical set-up and characterization

The catalytic inks were separately prepared by dispersing 10 mg of each nanocatalyst in a mixture of 1 ml propanol and 5 ml Nafion® solution, with a subsequent sonication for 30 min. Then, an aliquot of 10 ml of each material was deposited on a glassy carbon disc (5 mm diameter) embedded in a rotating disc set-up (Pine Inst.), which was used as working electrode after solvent evaporation. The counter electrode was a Pt mesh, while an Ag/AgCl was used as the reference electrode. However, in this work, all potentials were reported against the Standard Hydrogen Electrode (SHE).

Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of the nanocatalysts were acquired in N$_2$-saturated 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ at 20 mV s$^{-1}$ between the potential interval of 0.05 V and 1.2 V, using a Voltalab PGZ301 potentiostat. ACDTs were done by performing potential cycling between 0.6 V and 1.2 V at 75 mV s$^{-1}$ for 500 cycles. After this test, CVs at 20 mV s$^{-1}$ were carried out again. The electrochemical stability of the Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT anode was established by evaluating the changes in the electrochemically active surface area (EASA), in the hydrogen adsorption/desorption region. Polarization curves of the EOR were acquired between 0.05 V and 1.2 V in a 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ + 0.5M C$_2$H$_5$OH solution at 20 mV s$^{-1}$.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of Pt/MWCNT and Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT. Insert shows the (220) peaks with experimental (scatter data) and fitting curves (solid lines).
phase corresponding to the MWCNTs, also emerge at nearly $2q=26$ and 54 degrees in both patterns. No diffraction peaks related to magnetite are identified in the case of the Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT catalyst. Such characteristic differs from that of Fe$_3$O$_4$@Pt core-shell nanostructures reported elsewhere, at which magnetite reflections could be indexed [8-9]. This difference can be attributed to the magnetite content in the catalyst, which is higher at Fe$_3$O$_4$@Pt (see refs. [8-9]) compared to Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT (this work).

A shift in the (220) diffraction peak of Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT related to the corresponding peak of the Pt-alone catalyst can be observed in the insert of Figure 1. Thus, a lattice contraction is revealed by a lower value in the lattice parameter of Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT (3.88 Å) compared to Pt/MWCNT (3.90 Å). This compression is attributed to an interaction between Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Pt atoms in the fcc structure. The values of particle size, calculated from the (220) diffraction peaks with the aid of the Scherrer equation, are 2.6 and 2.3 nm for Pt/MWCNT and Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT, respectively (Table 1).

Figure 2 shows STEM images of Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT. In Figure 2(a), Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles are distributed along the CNT forming some agglomerates. The HR-STEM image in Figure 2(b) shows details of Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. From selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns and Fast Fourier Transform analysis, the interplanar distances were determined as $d=0.216$ and 0.28 nm,

Figure 3. (a) CVs of Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT before and after cyclic polarization in N$_2$-saturated 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$. (b) Polarization curves of the EOR at Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT and Pt/MWCNT in N$_2$-saturated 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ + 0.5 M C$_2$H$_5$OH electrolyte. Scan rate: 20 mV s$^{-1}$.

Table 1. Chemical composition and average particle size of the electrocatalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electro catalyst</th>
<th>Pt (wt. %)</th>
<th>Fe$_3$O$_4$ (wt. %)</th>
<th>O (wt. %)</th>
<th>C (wt. %)</th>
<th>Pt:Fe$_3$O$_4$ wt. ratio</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>79.88</td>
<td>70:30</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/MWCNT</td>
<td>20.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79.64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ascribed to Pt (111) and Fe$_3$O$_4$ (220) respectively. Figure 2(b) confirms the formation of the Pt-metal oxide catalyst.

The chemical composition of Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT and Pt/MWCNT is shown in Table 1. For both electrocatalysts, the results confirm roughly 80 wt. % of Vulcan, in good agreement with the nominal calculations. The monometallic anode has a Pt content of 20.36 wt. %, i.e., the expected chemical composition. The chemical analysis of Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT shows Pt, Fe$_3$O$_4$ and O contents of 13.73, 3.62 and 2.77 wt. %, respectively. The O detected for this material is considered to be due to the presence of the iron oxide. Thus, the Pt:Fe$_3$O$_4$ wt. ratio of Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT is roughly 70:30, below the 80:20 nominal composition.

Figure 3(a) shows the CVs of the Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT nano-material before and after ACDT. Even though there is a difference in the intensity of the PtO formation/reduction region after stability test, the changes in the hydrogen adsorption/desorption remain negligible. An evaluation of this region demonstrates the high electrochemical stability of the magnetite-containing anode. The surface area loss of this material after cyclic polarization is less than 7%. Meanwhile, the loss in the Pt/MWCNT anode (CVs not shown) is of about 5%, i.e., the stability of Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT is as good as that of Pt-alone. The stability behavior of Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT is similar to that of Fe$_3$O$_4$@Pt nanostructures reported elsewhere [8, 9]. However, it should be mentioned that in the material synthesized in this work there is no Pt shell covering a magnetite core as in references [8, 9], suggesting that the interaction of Pt with iron oxide in a bimetallic configuration also enhances the stability of the latter in H$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte. More studies need to be carried out in order to fully understand the mechanism that promotes the high stability of Fe$_3$O$_4$ in acid electrolyte shown in Figure 3(a).

Figure 3(b) shows the polarization curves of the EOR at both electrocatalysts. Clearly, the catalytic activity of Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT is higher than that of Pt/MWCNT. The onset potentials of the EOR are around 0.39 and 0.45 V (vs. SHE), while the maximum peak current densities in the positive scan are 11 and 7.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ in Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT and Pt/MWCNT, respectively. It means that almost 46% of increment in current density is due to the use of Fe$_3$O$_4$ as co-catalyst. Moreover, the peak maximum at Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT is nearly 100 mV more negative compared to Pt-alone.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT nanocatalysts were successfully synthesized by incipient wetness method and reduced with NaBH$_4$. Crystalline nanoparticles having a particle size of less than 3 nm were formed, according to XRD analysis. SAED and Fast Fourier Transform analysis of HR-STEM images allowed to identify lattice fringes attributed to Pt (111) and Fe$_3$O$_4$ (220) planes, confirming the formation of the Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT nanoparticles. The chemical composition analysis of the bimetallic anode confirmed the presence of Pt, Fe$_3$O$_4$, and O. However, the Pt:Fe$_3$O$_4$ wt. ratio was 70:30, below the 80:20 nominal chemical composition.

The electrochemical characterization showed that Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT is highly stable in acid media. The surface area loss of this anode after ACDT between 0.6 and 1.2 V (vs. SHE) was about 7% in 0.5M H$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte. The polarization curves of the EOR demonstrated the higher catalytic activity of the magnetite-containing nanocatalyst compared to a Pt/MWCNT material. The Pt-Fe$_3$O$_4$/MWCNT anode outperformed Pt/MWCNT in terms of onset potential of the EOR, maximum current density peak in the positive scan and the position (potential) of the peak maximum. The results presented in this work indicate that a relatively cheap material such as magnetite could be used as co-catalysts along with Pt, and contribute in decreasing the costs of fuel cells electrocatalysts.
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