Effect of WO$_x$ Over Ni/Hydrotalcite Catalysts to Produce Hydrogen from Ethanol
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Abstract: The effect of WO$_x$ over Ni-hydrotalcite catalysts to produce H$_2$ by ethanol steam reforming was studied. The catalysts were characterized by N$_2$ physisorption (BET area), X-ray diffraction, Infrared and UV-vis spectroscopies. The W concentration ranged from 0.5 to 3 wt%. As W concentration increased, the intensity of XRD reflections of the Ni catalysts decreased. The porous structure of the materials consisted of parallel layers with a monomodal mesoporous distribution. The surface groups detected by IR were: -OH, Al-OH, Mg-OH, W-O and CO$_2$$^+$. UV-vis results suggested that Ni$^{2+}$ ions were substituted by W ions. The catalytic evaluations were made in a fixed bed reactor using a water/ethanol mol ratio of 4 at 450°C. Catalysts with low loadings of W (0.5 and 1%) showed the highest H$_2$ production and stability. W promoted the conversion of ethanol towards hydrogen in the case of the Ni-hydrotalcite catalysts. The reaction products were: H$_2$, CO$_2$, CH$_3$CHO, CH$_4$ and C$_2$H$_4$. The catalysts did not produce CO.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Ethanol has several advantages over fossil fuel-derived hydrocarbons as a renewable source of hydrogen. It is nearly CO$_2$ neutral, it is less toxic; it can be more easily stored without significant handling risk and it can be obtained in large quantities from biomass [1-2].

The catalytic ethanol steam reforming has been proposed to produce hydrogen. This reaction is endothermic and it only produces H$_2$ and CO$_2$ if the ethanol reacts in the most desirable way. However, undesirable products such as CO and CH$_4$ are also in general formed [1]. Other reactions may occur, such as dehydrogenation of ethanol to CH$_3$CHO, dehydration to CH$_3$=CH$_2$, decomposition to CO and CH$_4$ or CO$_2$ and CH$_4$.

CH$_3$CHO and the CH$_3$=CH$_2$ are intermediate products that could be formed during reaction at relatively low temperatures before the formation of H$_2$ and CO$_2$ and it is also possible to form coke over the surface of the catalyst.

The catalytic ethanol steam reforming has been reported to produce hydrogen and low amounts of CO and CO$_2$ [3-4]. Another attractive point of this reaction is related with the energy required to produce H$_2$. An energy balance has shown that approximately 35% of the total energy obtained by hydrogen combustion is required to produce hydrogen, whereas the remaining 65% is the available energy [5].

There are several catalysts being studied in this field. In our case, we are interested in catalysts made out of hydrotalcites. This class of catalysts has high surface area, a good basic site distribution and these solids present the so-called memory effect [6-8].

The main objective of the present research was to evaluate the effect of the addition of W (as WOx) to the production of hydrogen from ethanol steam reforming. The addition of WOx in catalysts with Al$_2$O$_3$ has resulted in a high thermal stability [9]. The second objective was to study the effect of W over the steam reforming of ethanol reaction for hydrogen production over Ni/Hydrotalcite catalysts. The authors examined the effect of W content on the conversion, selectivity and stability of the promoted catalysts. This metal is able to break C-H and C-C bonds to produce hydrogen [10-12].
2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Catalyst Preparation

The hydrotalcite was made by coprecipitation using two salt solutions as precursors. First, in a stirred reactor a salt solution of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$ and Al$_2$(NO$_3$)$_3$ (J.T. Baker) with a molar ratio of 2:1 was made. A second solution of Na$_2$CO$_3$ (5%) and NaOH (pH = 10) (Carlo Erba) was prepared. These two solutions were added simultaneously by drop to a third stirred reactor using water as solvent (60 drops/min) at 60°C maintaining an atomic ratio of Mg:Al$^{3+}$ of 1.55. After aging the precipitate for 24 h the resulting solid was impregnated with Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$.

The solution of Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$ was added in such a way as to get a constant amount of 1 wt% Ni. The precipitate was washed, dried and calcined at 450°C for 5h. These solids were impregnated with a solution of (NH$_4$)$_2$W$_{12}$O$_{40}$5H$_2$O, (Aldrich) having different W concentrations: 0 wt%W and 1%Ni (sample HTN), 0.5wt%W and 1%Ni (HTN05W), 1wt%W and 1wt%Ni (HTN1W), 2wt%W and 1wt%Ni (HTN2W), 3wt%W with 1wt%Ni (HTN3W). The impregnation of the solids was made during 24 h at 60°C. The solids were washed, dried at 120°C for 24 h and calcined at 450°C during 5 h. Finally the samples were reduced with pure H$_2$ at 500°C for 2 h.

2.2. Catalysts Characterization

The solids obtained were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) in a Phillips X’ Pert instrument. The XRD patterns of the samples after calcination were obtained using the CuK$_\alpha$ radiation. Diffraction intensity was measured in the 20 range between 5 and 70° with a step of 0.02° with 8 seconds per point, the samples were analyzed directly at room temperature. The infrared spectroscopy was made in a Perkin Elmer spectrophotometer (Spectrum-RX). An infrared beam was sent through a wafer of the sample. The wavenumber range was from 4000 to 400 cm$^{-1}$ and the number of scans averaged was 50. N$_2$ physisorption at 77 K was made in a Micromeritics 2000 instrument. Each sample was pretreated at 200°C under vacuum (1 x 10$^{-4}$ torr). The diffuse reflectance UV-visible spectroscopic analysis (UV-vis) of the samples was made in a Varian (Cary 5E) spectrophotometer. The range of wavelength was from 3000 nm to 200 nm.

2.3. Catalytic evaluation for steam reforming of ethanol

The catalytic reaction was made in a U-shaped stainless steel fixed bed reactor. The catalyst (1g) was charged for each of the reaction evaluation. The feed of the reactants consisted of ethanol (Aldrich), water as steam and N$_2$ (purity 99.999%, Infra-Air Products). N$_2$ was fed through a micrometric needle valve (1 ml/s). The gas mixture of H$_2$O and CH$_3$CH$_2$OH (molar ratio of 4/1) in N$_2$ stream was prepared using two glass saturators followed by heating to 92°C before it should be feed to the reaction chamber.

The temperature of the catalyst was changed to 450°C in flow of N$_2$ for 30 min to clean the catalyst surface and then the flow of reactants started at 450°C. The catalyst was held at that temperature for 30 min in order to make three analyses. In the case of deactivation tests the catalysts were evaluated during 420 min.

The analysis of the reactants and all the reaction products was carried out online by gas chromatography. Inside an automated injection valve, the sample was divided into two portions which were then analyzed in order to obtain accurate, complete quantification of the reaction products. One sample was used to analyze H$_2$, CO, CO$_2$ and CH$_4$, using a column of silica gel 12 grade 60/80 (18’ x 1/8”) with a thermal conductivity detector (Gow-Mac apparatus). The second sample was used to analyze CH$_3$CH$_2$OH, CH$_3$CHO, CH$_3$COCH$_3$, CH$_2$O and CH$_3$=CH$_2$ with a capillary column (VF-1ms, 15m x 0.25 mm) in a Varian chromatograph CP-3380 with a flame ionization detector (FID). Response factors for all products were obtained and the system was calibrated with appropriate standards before each catalytic test. The conversion (X) was calculated using the ethanol composition before and after of the reaction. The selectivity of each product was defined as follows: Si (%) = Ni / Σ Ni x 100 (see the nomenclature).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Textural properties

The surface area (BET) and the nominal content of W are shown in Table 1. These samples were mesoporous [6] and they showed an IV type hysteresis (Figure 1) according to the IUPAC classification [13-15]. The hysteresis of the isotherms corresponded with the structure of parallel plates typical of the hydrotalcites [16]. The surface area obtained in the Ni series was nearly inversely proportional to the W concentration. This WOx effect was similar with respect to that observed in WO$_x$/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts [9], although we did not detect surface oxo compounds by Raman spectroscopy in our samples at low W concentrations. It could be possible that WO$_x$ species were inside the hydrotalcite structure. This possibility was strengthened by the fact that the pore volume decreased as the W concentration increased.

Table 1. Surface area BET and W concentration of the WO$_x$/ Ni-Hydrotalcite catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>W (% wt)</th>
<th>Surface area BET (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>Pore volume by Gurvitch (cm$^3$/g)</th>
<th>Pore diameter (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN05W</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN1W</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN2W</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN3W</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
distribution (50 to 1000 Å) having an average pore diameter of 578 Å (Figure 2), while the samples with the lowest W content: 0.5 and 1 wt% W (HTN05W and HTN1W) showed a smaller average pore diameter of 254 Å. In these two samples the highest effect of W occurred on the pore diameter. Indeed, these results suggest that the WOx interacts with the hydrotalcite structure, causing a partial blockage of pores, resulting in a decrease of pores. Particularly, this phenomenon was already reported by Basile et al. [17] who suggested that such a decrease in the surface area was related to the aggregation of metallic species blocking the smaller pores and/or causing some structural rearrangements. Further addition of tungsten to the hydrotalcite (samples HTP2W and HTP3W) produced samples with pore diameter between that obtained of the HTN sample and those of the samples HTN05W and HTN1W. At small W concentrations there is pore blocking on the hydrotalcite interlay-
ers, thus reducing the pore diameter. While at higher concentrations of W this last one may cause the collapse of some interlayers of the hydrotalcite structure and therefore producing higher pore volumes. Another possible explanation is that at low W concentrations, W may substitute some species in the hydrotalcite structure, causing a narrower interlayer structure and therefore lower pore volumes. Further increase in W content may further incorporate more W into the hydrotalcite structure by ionic substitution that the interlayer structure may open to a pore volume comparable to the original structure without W.

3.2. XRD analysis

For samples with Ni XRD showed symmetric intense peaks corresponding to (003), (006), (110) and (113) reflections (Figure 3). Additionally, we observed asymmetric peaks with smaller intensity in (012), (015) and (018). These peaks correspond to a laminar structure typical of hydrotalcites [16]. At larger W concentration the crystalline structure of the samples decreased. The absence of other phases suggests that both W⁶⁺ and Al³⁺ have isomorphically replaced the Mg²⁺ cations in the burcite (Mg(OH)₂) - like layers [6, 10,13,18]. The intensity of the diffraction lines assigned to the hydrotalcite phase decreased by the addition of W ions, suggesting a decrease in the population of Mg-Al-Hydrotalcite structures. The Ni²⁺ and Mg²⁺ exchange could be possible [19], but that is difficult to ascertain with the low Ni concentration used.

3.3. Infrared spectroscopy

The infrared spectra for the samples with Ni are shown in Figure 4. They present a broad OH stretching band in the 3100-3700 cm⁻¹ region and the H₂O scissoring mode band near 1600 cm⁻¹ provides evidence for the presence of water molecules. Other authors have attributed the band at 3410 cm⁻¹ to hydroxil groups coordinated
with Mg and Al, while the vibration of the same group associated with water is a wide band between 3650-3590 cm\(^{-1}\) [20]. The absorbance values (calculated by \(A = 2.3 \cdot \log_{10} \% T\)) for this band were: 0.83, 0.73 and 0.77 for catalysts HTN2W, HTN3W and HTN 0.5W whereas 0.57 and 0.47 for catalysts HTN and HTN1W. The lowest absorbance was for the catalyst having 1 wt% W. This suggests that this catalyst had the lowest amount of hydroxil groups -OH.

A strong band located at 1380 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to the presence of residual nitrate ions. Another band located at 1029 cm\(^{-1}\) is related with the symmetric C=O stretching carbonate ion which has been found in 1041 cm\(^{-1}\) [21]. In the region below 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) the spectrum showed a band located in 772 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to -OH bending vibrations of brucite (Mg(OH)\(_2\)) type layers [22].

The bands located at 680 and 560 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to vibration modes of brucite-type layers, specifically the metal-oxygen symmetrical stretching. The antisymmetric -OH stretching band located at 3460 cm\(^{-1}\) decreased as the W concentration increased, and so did the H\(_2\)O scissoring mode band at 1600 cm\(^{-1}\). These results suggest that some of the –OH groups in the brucite type layers of the hydrotalcite could be substituted by WO\(_x\) species.

### 3.4. UV-vis spectroscopy

The strong band between 200-300 nm increased as W concentration increased (Figure 5) and it has been attributed to a ligand-metal charge transfer band (LMCT) of the single ligand in W=O [22, 23]. In the case of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) this band increased with the W concentration, and the W\(^{VI}\) is present in a tetrahedral coordination [24-26].

It is known that the structure of the tungstate ion [W\(_{12}\)O\(_{40}\)]\(^{12-}\) in aqueous solutions is highly dependent on the pH [27]. In alkaline solutions W\(^{VI}\) is present as a tetrahedral monomeric ion [WO\(_4\)]\(^{2-}\). This may apply in our case, as the hydrotalcites have a basic nature.

The band between 350-450 nm is a d-d transition band assigned to the Ni-O group of NiO [28]. The band located between 650-721 nm could be ascribed to Ni\(^{2+}\) ions in octahedral coordination [28] in a NiAl\(_2\)O\(_4\) -type spinel-like structure formed through diffusion of Ni ions into the support. These bands decreased as the W\(^{VI}\) ions increased, probably by substitution of Ni ions by W ions.

### 3.5. Catalytic activity

We observed a small promotion effect by addition of 0.5 wt % W to the Ni-hydrotalcite (Figure 6). The products of reaction were H\(_2\), CH\(_3\)CHO, CO\(_2\), CH\(_3\) and CH\(_2\)=CH\(_2\) (shown in Figure 7).

Ni-hydrotalcite (HTN) catalyzes ethanol steam reforming (Figures 6 and 7) as reported elsewhere [21] and the amount of Ni was not critical in the range studied. For this type of catalysts, the production of ethylene, acetaldehyde and diethyl ether vanishes at 500°C and above.

The presence of surface –OH on the catalyst has been reported to be important to catalyze the initial interaction of ethanol with these –OH groups to form ethoxy species [29] which could evolve to CH\(_3\)=CH\(_2\). This compound may evolve over the surface through alkyl elimination or by forming a bidentate acetate species, which through C-C scission can produce CO\(_2\), CH\(_3\) and H\(_2\) in the presence of water. This explanation may be similar for the steam reforming of ethanol using ZnO. This oxide has showed catalytic activity...
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producing H2, CO2 and CH3CHO [29].

The reaction products in Figure 7 are produced in accordance with the following reactions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + 3 \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow 2 \text{CO}_2 + 6 \text{H}_2 \\
\text{CH}_3\text{CHO} & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + \text{H}_2 \\
\text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{CH}_4 + 2 \text{H}_2 \\
\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2 + \text{H}_2 \text{O} \\
\text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{CO} + 3 \text{H}_2 \\
\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2 + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2 + 2 \text{H}_2 \\
\text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2 + 2 \text{H}_2
\end{align*}
\]

We found CH3CHO with all the catalysts studied, suggesting that WOx/Ni-hydrotalcite surface acted as a dehydrogenation catalyst according to reaction (2).

Hydrogen was the main product with several reactions contributing to its production (mainly reaction 1). We did not detect CO perhaps because it reacted with water to produce CO2 and more H2 in accordance with reaction (5) or because reaction (1) was more favored than reactions (3) and (6) which are the route for CO production. Although some CO can be produced at thermodynamic equilibrium it may not be relevant in continuous operation because the methane reforming reaction (6) is not favored at temperatures below 450°C and it is also kinetically limited. Therefore, the most relevant reactions describing results from Figure 7 are reaction (1), (2), (3) and (4).

The ethanol dehydration to CH2=CH2, reaction (4) was affected by the presence of W. The catalyst with the highest W concentration showed the highest production of ethylene. In this point, the distribution of dehydration products (ethylene and diethyl ether) was dependent on both Ni and W concentrations. It has been reported [20] that for Ni-hydrotalcite catalysts, the higher the Ni loading, the lower is the selectivity to ethylene and diethyl ether. It may be possible that in our catalysts surface Ni sites could be substituted by W ions as suggested by our UV-vis spectroscopy results. If this occurred, a decrease in the concentration of Ni sites on the catalyst would favor the selectivity to the dehydration products. Additionally, it is important to remember that the presence of these products could lead to the formation of coke [30], and their yield has a space-time dependence typical of intermediate products [31].

The difference in H2 mole fraction between all the catalysts was small and H2 production comes from several reactions; dehydrogenation, water-gas shift conversion of CO and decomposition of oxygenated compounds. Infrared studies have shown that dehydrogenation of molecularly adsorbed ethanol was a key reaction step [32].

Ethanol adsorbs molecularly to form weakly adsorbed hydrogen-bonded species and to produce strongly adsorbed molecular ethanol on the Lewis-sites of the support. It was found that high temperature treatment of the adsorbed species caused the formation of surface acetate species on the support. The presence of water lowered the temperature for the appearance of acetate species and increased the stability of monodentate ethoxide species.

The HTN1W catalyst showed the highest production to H2 and the lowest production to C2H4 (Figure 7) and moreover, it did not deactivate during 7 h on-stream (see section 3.7).

Some authors have worked with catalysts containing hydrotalcites [33] or hydrotalcite-like compound precursors with different preparation methods to produce H2. For example, Liu et al [34] prepared their solids by a novel method, which was a combination of the reverse microemulsion and coprecipitation methods. It was observed that the precursor obtained from the above method possessed superior characteristics for preparing mixed oxide catalyst used in ethanol steam reforming. The authors mentioned that the reverse microemulsion-derived catalyst showed much better catalytic performance than catalysts prepared from conventional coprecipitation or impregnation methods in terms of H2 selectivity and catalyst stability. However our precipitation method produced catalysts with equivalent stability during the deactivation test at 450°C. Other authors [35] prepared catalysts with Ni loaded Mg-Al mixed oxide supported catalysts which were superior in H2 and COx production selectivity and stability compared to the pure oxide supported Ni catalysts. Recently, there are other authors using hydrotalcites or ex-hydrotalcite with other metals (La, Ce, Zn, Co, Cu) which cause a high stabilization of the active metal phases in similar way than W and with good selectivities to H2 [36-39].

### 3.6. Equilibrium calculations

To get an idea of the approach to equilibrium, we performed calculations considering that reaction (1) represents the main contribution in the system [33]. Figure 8 shows the equilibrium mole fractions for the different components calculated from equation 9 using equations 10-18 to define mole fractions. We considered the 4:1 molar flow ratio of water to ethanol.

\[
K = \frac{Y_{\text{CO}_2}^2 Y_{\text{H}_2}^6}{Y_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^3 Y_{\text{OH}}}
\]

The mole balance of the reaction (1) where X is conversion, was as
The mole fractions were:

$$y_{OH} = \frac{N_{OH}}{N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$y_{CO2} = \frac{N_{CO2}}{N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

$$y_{H2} = \frac{N_{H2}}{N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$y_{H2O} = \frac{N_{H2O}}{N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The experimental and equilibrium mole fractions for H$_2$, CO$_2$, and H$_2$O were compared (Table 2). Only small differences were found independently of the catalyst used, suggesting that the reaction is equilibrium controlled.

Table 2. Experimental$^1$ and equilibrium mole fractions of some reaction products (considering only reaction (1)) for the WOx/Ni-hydrotalcite catalysts at 78% conversion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>C$_2$H$_5$OH</th>
<th>H$_2$</th>
<th>CO$_2$</th>
<th>H$_2$O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exper.</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>Exper.</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT05W</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN05W</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN1W</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN2W</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN3W</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$The experimental mole fractions of the CH$_3$CHO, C$_2$H$_4$ and CH$_4$ were not included

3.7. Catalytic Stability

The catalysts HTN05W and HTN (0.5 and 0 wt% W) showed good stability during 420 min on-stream tests at 450°C (Figure 9). This behavior was similar to the W effect in catalysts of Pt supported on Al$_2$O$_3$ [10, 33]. In those studies, low concentrations of tungsten oxides (below monolayer, W < 1 wt %) stabilized thermally the Al$_2$O$_3$, as hydrotalcite do in this study.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The porous structure of the WOx-Ni-hydrotalcite catalysts involved parallel layers with a monomodal mesoporous distribution. It appears that Ni ions were substituted by W ions according to UV-vis spectroscopy. The surface groups determined by IR included H$_2$O, Al-OH, Mg-OH and CO$_2$.

We observed a small promotion effect of W at low W concentrations on the Ni/hydrotalcite catalysts. The addition of 0.5 wt % W increased the selectivity to H$_2$ and the conversion, with the selectivity being the highest for the catalyst with 1 wt%. The catalysts studied did not produce CO and showed low selectivity to ethylene. Experimental and calculated equilibrium mole fractions of H$_2$ were close for the catalysts studied. The catalyst with the lowest W concentration was more stable than the catalyst without W. Catalysts with W concentrations higher than 0.5 wt% W did not show a pro-
motion effect during long time-on-stream tests.
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