

5. CONCLUSIONS

Community structure is a significant feature in complex networks. The characteristic of community in which nodes have the same attribute is widely used in various fields, and abundant results have been obtained. Therefore, many community detection methods are proposed. But these methods have intrinsic drawbacks, and it is hard to design effective methods. In order to solve this problem, we employ multi-scale view to reveal easily detectable community structure in complex networks. Experiments on both synthetic and real networks show that, for a given network, the communities must be prominent and easily detectable by single-scale methods, if these communities are strongly synchronous and if the synchronous partition falls within the stable partitions detected by multi-scale methods. The results can provide one with a detailed guide for designing community structure mining methods.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number: 61806007), the National Language Committee scientific research projects of China under (Grant number: YB135-50).

REFERENCES

- [1] Jiao, Q.J., Huang, Y., Shen, H.B. (2015). Community mining with new node similarity by incorporating both global and local topological knowledge in a constrained random walk. *Phys. A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 424: 363-371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2015.01.022>
- [2] Newman, M.E.J., Girvan, M. (2004). Finding and evaluating community structure in networks. *Physical Review. E, Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics*, 69(2): 026113. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.69.026113>
- [3] Newman, M.E.J. (2004). Fast algorithm for detecting community structure in networks. *Physical Review. E*, 69: 066133. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.69.066133>
- [4] Guimera, R., Sales-Pardo, M., Amaral, L.A.N. (2004). Modularity from fluctuations in random graphs and complex networks. *Physical Review. E*, 70: 025101. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.70.025101>
- [5] Richardson, T., Mucha, P.J., Porter, M.A. (2009). Spectral tripartitioning of networks. *Physical Review. E*, 80: 036111. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.036111>
- [6] Newman, M.E.J. (2006). Modularity and community structure in networks. *Proc. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 103(23): 8577-8582. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601602103>

- [7] Ahn, Y.Y., Bagrow, J.P., Lehmann, S. (2010). Link communities reveal multiscale complexity in networks. *Nature*, 466: 761-764. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09182>
- [8] Newman, M.E.J. (2012). Communities, modules and large-scale structure in networks. *Nature Physics*, 8: 25-31. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2162>
- [9] Jiao, Q.J., Huang, Y., Shen, H.B. (2016). A new multi-scale method to reveal hierarchical modular structures in biological networks. *Molecular BioSystems*, 12: 3724-3733. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6MB00617E>
- [10] Arenas, A., Fernandez, A., Gomez, S. (2008). Analysis of the structure of complex networks at different resolution levels. *New Journal of Physics*, 10(5): 053039. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/10/5/053039>
- [11] Arenas, A., Díaz-Guilera, A., Pérez-Vicente, C.J. (2006). Synchronization reveals topological scales in complex networks. *Physical Review Letters*, 96(11): 114102. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.114102>
- [12] Lancichinetti, A., Fortunato, S. (2009). Benchmarks for testing community detection algorithms on directed and weighted graphs with overlapping communities. *Physical Review. E, Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics*, 80: 016118. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.016118>
- [13] Zachary, W.W. (1977). An information flow model for conflict and fission in small groups. *Journal of Anthropological Research*, 33(4): 452-473. <https://doi.org/10.1086/jar.33.4.3629752>
- [14] Girvan, M., Newman, M.E.J. (2002). Community structure in social and biological networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 99(12): 7821-7826. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.122653799>
- [15] Lusseau, D., Schneider, K., Boisseau, O.J., Haase, P., Slooten, E., Dawson, S.M. (2003). The bottlenose dolphin community of Doubtful Sound features a large proportion of long-lasting associations. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 54: 396-405. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-003-0651-y>
- [16] Rosvall, M., Bergstrom, C.T. (2008). Maps of random walks on complex networks reveal community structure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105(4): 1118-1123. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0706851105>
- [17] Blondel, V., Guillaume, J., Lambiotte, R., Lefebvre, E. (2008). Fast unfolding of communities in large networks. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2008: P10008. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2008/10/p10008>
- [18] Lancichinetti, A., Radicchi, F., Ramasco, J.J., Fortunato, S. (2011). Finding statistically significant communities in networks. *PLoS One*, 6: e18961. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018961>
- [19] Strehl, A., Ghosh, J. (2003). Cluster ensembles—a knowledge reuse framework for combining multiple partition. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 3: 583-617. <https://doi.org/10.1162/153244303321897735>