

7. CONCLUSION

This paper presents the comparison of P&O MPPT, P&O based PI and P&O/EL-PBC in relation to their performance. The results indicate that P&O/PI method has low efficiency because of its lack of speed in tracking the MPPT. But, the P&O/EL-PBC method shows the effectiveness of the last to eliminate the oscillations and achieve less response time successfully

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This article is dedicated to late Mohammed Bederrar associated to this work and who recently left us. A.A.B. thanks M.Tadjine for their helps in some specific parts of this project.

REFERENCES

[1] Tang, Z.B., Deng, Y.D., Su, C.Q., Shuai, W.W., Xie, C.J. (2015). A research on thermoelectric generator's electrical performance under temperature mismatch conditions for automotive waste heat recovery system. *Case Studies in Thermal Engineering*, 5: 143-150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2015.03.006>

[2] Tsai, H.L., L, J.M. (2009). Model building and simulation of thermoelectric module using Matlab/Simulink. *Journal of Electronic Materials*, 39(9): 2105-2111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11664-009-0994-x>

[3] Gao, H.B., Huang, G.H., Li, H.J., Qu, Z.G., Zhang, Y.J. (2016). Development of stove-powered thermoelectric generators: A review. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 9: 297-310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2015.11.032>

[4] Ludek, J., Zdenek, H. (2015). Power management electronics for thermoelectric energy harvesting systems. *International Journal Engineering*, 13(1): 183-186.

[5] Paraskevas, A., Koutroulis, E. (2016). A simple maximum power point tracker for thermoelectric generators, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 108: 355-365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2015.11.027>

[6] Singh, M., Bhukesh, S.K., Vaishnava, R. (2015). Modeling and simulation of solar thermoelectric generator. *IOSR Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering*, 10(3): 71-76. 10.9790/1676-10337176

[7] Toulbia, A., Tadjine, M. (2017). Perturb and observe maximum power point tracking based passivity approach for thermoelectric generator. *The Mediterranean Journal of Measurement and Control*, 13(1): 355-365.

[8] Abouda, S., Nollet, F., Essounbouli, N., Chaari, A., Koubaa, Y. (2013). Design, simulation and voltage control of standalone photovoltaic system based MPPT: application to a pumping system. *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research*, 3(3): 539-549.

[9] Sreekala, P. Ramkumar, A. (2017). Performance analysis of thermoelectric generator with different controllers. *Technical Research Organization India*, 4(11): 13-16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/e17117387>

[10] Belabbes, B., Lousdad, A., Meroufel, A., Larbaoui, A. (2013). Simulation and modelling of passivity based control of PMSM under controlled voltage. *Journal of Electrical Engineering*, 64(5): 298-304. <https://doi.org/10.2478/jee-2013-0043>

[11] Tofighi, A., Kalantar, M. (2013). Interconnection and damping assignment and Euler-Lagrange passivity-based control of photovoltaic/battery hybrid power source for stand-alone applications. *Journal of Zhejiang University- Science (Computers & Electronics)*, 12(9): 774-786. <https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.c1000368>

NOMENCLATURE

Symbol	Significance
P&O	Perturb and Observe,
MPPT	Maximum Power Point Tracking,
PBC	Passivity based control,
P&O/PBC	Perturb and Observe based passivity,
TEM	Thermoelectric Module,
EL-PBC	the Euler Lagrange passivity based control,
V_{oc}	The open-circuit thermoelectric potential,
α	the Seebeck coefficient,
ΔT	is the temperature difference across the two junctions,
ρ	the electrical resistivity,
K	the thermal conductivity,
V_g	the generated Seebeck voltage,
V	the voltage of TEM,
R_g	total electrical resistance of TEM,
V_m	The maximum voltage,
P	The power of the load,
V_{ch}	Voltage of the load,
L	The Lagrangian of the system,
T	the electric field energy,
D	the Rayleigh dissipation,
F_q	exogenous inputs to the system,
q_L	the electrical charge on the inductor,
q_C	the electrical charge on the capacitor,
μ	the duty cycle of the converter,
R_b	The injected damping matrix,
R_d	the desired error dissipation,
\tilde{x}	the error state vector,
X_{1d}	the desired inductor current,
X_{2d}	the output MPPT P&O voltage delivered,
$H(x)$	the energy storage function,
$H_d(x)$	the energy storage function desired